arXiv:1411.2700v2 [math.SP] 29 Apr 2015

EIGENVALUES FOR THE ROBIN LAPLACIAN IN DOMAINS WITH VARIABLE CURVATURE

BERNARD HELFFER AND AYMAN KACHMAR

Abstract. We determine accurate asymptotics for the low-lying eigenvalues of the Robin Laplacian when the Robin parameter goes to $-\infty$. The two first terms in the expansion have been obtained by K. Pankrashkin in the $2D$-case and by K. Pankrashkin and N. Popoff in higher dimensions. The asymptotics display the influence of the curvature and the splitting between every two consecutive eigenvalues. The analysis is based on the approach developed by Fournais-Helffer for the semi-classical magnetic Laplacian. We also propose a WKB construction as candidate for the ground state energy.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open domain with a smooth C^∞ and compact boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ such that the boundary Γ has a finite number of connected components. The unit outward normal vector of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is denoted by ν.

In this paper, we study the low-lying eigenvalues of the Robin Laplacian in $L^2(\Omega)$ with a large parameter. This is the operator

$$\mathcal{P}^\gamma = -\Delta \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \tag{1.1}$$

with domain,

$$D(\mathcal{P}^\gamma) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega) \ : \ \nu \cdot \nabla u + \gamma\, u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega\}\,, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\gamma < 0$ is a given parameter. The operator $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$ is defined by the Friedrichs Theorem via the closed semi-bounded quadratic form, defined on $H^1(\Omega)$ by

$$u \mapsto \mathcal{Q}^\gamma(u) := \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \gamma \int_{\partial\Omega} |u(x)|^2 ds(x)\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Denote by $(\lambda_n(\gamma))$ the sequence of min-max values of the operator $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$. In [15, 16, 3], it is proved that, for every fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lambda_n(\gamma) = -\gamma^2 + \kappa_{\max}\gamma + \gamma\, o(1) \quad \text{as } \gamma \to -\infty\,, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\kappa_{\max}$ is the maximal curvature along the boundary Γ. Note that the first term in (1.4) was obtained previously (see [13] and references therein).

If the domain Ω is an exterior domain, then the operator $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$ has an essential spectrum; the essential spectrum is $[0, \infty)$, see e.g. [9]. In this case, the asymptotics in (1.4) show that, for every fixed n, if $-\gamma$ is selected sufficiently large, then $\lambda_n(\gamma)$ is in the discrete spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$. When the domain Ω is an interior domain, i.e. bounded, then by Sobolev embedding, the operator $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$ is with compact resolvent and its spectrum is purely discrete.

The aim of this paper is to improve the asymptotic expansion in (1.4) and to give the leading term of the spectral gap $\lambda_{n+1}(\gamma) - \lambda_n(\gamma)$. We will do this under a generic assumption on the domain Ω that we describe below.

Suppose that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is parameterized by arc-length and κ is the curvature of $\partial\Omega$. Let $\kappa_{\max}$ denotes the maximal value of κ. We choose the arc-length parametrization of the boundary such that $\kappa(0) = \kappa_{\max}$. **Throughout this paper, we suppose that:**

$$\textit{Assumption } (A) \qquad \begin{cases} \kappa \text{ attains its maximum } \kappa_{\max} \text{ at a unique point}\,; \\ \text{the maximum is non-degenerate, i.e. } k_2 := -\kappa''(0) > 0. \end{cases}$$

The main result in this paper is:

Theorem 1.1. *For any positive n, there exists a sequence $(\beta_{j,n})_{j\geq 0}$, such that, for any positive $M \in \mathbb{N}$, the eigenvalue $\lambda_n(\gamma)$ has, as $\gamma \to -\infty$, the asymptotic expansion*

$$\lambda_n(\gamma) = -\gamma^2 + \gamma\kappa_{\max} + (2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,|\gamma|^{1/2} + \sum_{j=0}^{M} \beta_{j,n}|\gamma|^{\frac{-j}{2}} + |\gamma|^{\frac{-M}{2}} o(1)\,.$$

Compared with the existing semi-classics of Schrödinger operators (see [8]), the curvature in Theorem 1.1 acts as a potential well. The assumption (A) indicates the case of a unique well. In the case considered here, one can say that, in the limit $\gamma \to -\infty$, the bottom of the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{P}^\gamma$ is determined by the (boundary) operator $H^{\rm bnd} := -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} - \gamma^2 + \gamma\kappa(s)$. Here s is the arc-length parameterization of the boundary and the error through this approxomation is $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Loosely speaking, the reduced (boundary) operator $H^{\rm bnd}$ is analyzed by replacing the potential (curvature) $-\kappa(s)$ by its quadratic approximation at the (unique) point of minimum.

As in [8], a natural and interesting question is to discuss the case of multiple wells. If we replace (A) by

$$(A')\quad \begin{cases} \kappa \text{ attains its maximum } \kappa_{\max} \text{ at a finite number of points } \{s_0, s_1, \cdots, s_j\}\,; \\ \text{the maximum is non-degenerate, i.e. for all } i,\ k_{2,i} := -\kappa''(s_i) > 0\,. \end{cases}$$

then many effects can appear depending on the values of the $\kappa''(s_i)$ (as in the case of the Schrödinger operator). In case of symmetries, the determination of the tunneling effect between the points of maximal curvature is expected to play an important role. A limiting situation is discussed in [7] when the domain Ω has two congruent corners (at a corner, we can assign the value ∞ to $\kappa_{\max}$). In the regular case (typically an ellipse), an interesting step could be a construction of WKB solutions in the spirit of a recent work by V. Bonnaillie, F. Hérau and N. Raymond [1]. We address this WKB construction shortly in Section 9, and hope to come back at this point in a future work.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank K. Pankrashkin for useful discussions. The first author is supported by the ANR programme NOSEVOL and the second author is supported by a grant from Lebanese University.

2. Transformation into a semi-classical problem

We will prove Theorem 1.1 by transforming it into a semi-classical problem as follows. Let

$$h = \gamma^{-2}\,.$$

The limit $\gamma \to -\infty$ is now equivalent to the semi-classical limit $h \to 0_+$. Notice the simple identity

$$\forall\ u \in H^1(\Omega)\,, \quad \mathcal{Q}^\gamma(u) = h^{-2}\left(\int_\Omega |h\nabla u|^2 - h^{3/2}\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2\, ds(x)\right)\,.$$

We define the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_h = -h^2\Delta\,, \tag{2.1}$$

with domain,

$$D(\mathcal{L}_h) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega)\ :\ \nu\cdot h^{1/2}\nabla u - u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{P}^\gamma) = h^{-2}\sigma(\mathcal{L}_h)\,.$$

Let $(\mu_n(h))$ be the sequence of min-max values of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$. To determine the asymptotics of $\lambda_n(\gamma)$ as $\gamma \to -\infty$, we will determine the semi-classical asymptotics of $\mu_n(h)$ as $h \to 0_+$.

Now, Theorem 1.1 is a rephrasing of:

Theorem 2.1. *For any positive n, there exists a sequence $(\beta_{j,n})_{j\geq 0}$, such that, as $h \to 0_+$, the eigenvalue $\mu_n(h)$ has for any positive $M \in \mathbb{N}$ the asymptotic expansion*

$$\mu_n(h) = -h - \kappa_{\max} h^{3/2} + (2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\, h^{7/4} + h^2 \sum_{j=0}^{M} \beta_{j,n} h^{j/4} + h^{2+\frac{M}{4}} o(1)\,.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 consists of two major steps. In the first step, we establish a three-term asymptotics

$$\mu_n(h) = -h - \kappa_{\max} h^{3/2} + (2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\, h^{7/4} + h^{7/4} o(1)\,,$$

which is valid under the weaker assumption that the boundary of the domain Ω is C^4 smooth. This asymptotic expansion will be established in Sections 6 and 8.

The next step is to construct good trial states and use the spectral theorem to establish existence of n-eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ satisfying the refined asymptotics

$$\widetilde{\mu}_n(h) = -h - \kappa_{\max} h^{3/2} + (2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\, h^{7/4} + h^{15/8} \sum_{j=0}^{M} \zeta_{j,n} h^{j/8} + h^{\frac{M+15}{8}} o(1)\,,$$

which in light of the three-term asymptotics for $\mu_n(h)$, yields the equality

$$\mu_n(h) = \widetilde{\mu}_n(h)\,,$$

for $h > 0$ sufficiently small. This analysis is presented in Section 7. Right after the construction of the sequence $(\zeta_{j,n})$, we will prove in Section 7.3 that $\zeta_{j,n} = 0$ when j is even. The coefficients in Theorem 1.1 are given by the formula $\beta_{j,n} = \zeta_{2j+1,n}$.

Throughout this paper, the notation $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ indicates a quantity satisfying that, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_N > 0$ and $h_N > 0$ such that, for all $h \in (0, h_N)$, $|\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)| \leq C_N h^N$. Examples of such quantities are exponentially small quantities, like $\exp(-h^{-1/2})$. The letter C denotes a positive constant independent of the parameter h. The value of C might change from one formula to another.

3. Boundary coordinates

The key to prove Theorem 2.1 is a reduction to the boundary. Near the boundary, we do the computations using specific coordinates displaying the arc-length along the boundary and the normal distance to the boundary. In this section, we introduce the necessary notation to use these coordinates.

We will work in a connected component of the boundary. For simplicity, we suppose that Ω is simply connected; if Ω is not simply connected, we use the coordinates in each connected component independently. Let

$$\mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z}) \ni s \mapsto M(s) \in \partial\Omega$$

be a parametrization of $\partial\Omega$. The unit tangent vector of $\partial\Omega$ at the point $M(s)$ of the boundary is given by

$$T(s) := M'(s).$$

We define the curvature $\kappa(s)$ by the following identity

$$T'(s) = \kappa(s)\, \nu(s),$$

where $\nu(s)$ is the unit vector, normal to to the boundary, pointing outward at the point $M(s)$. We choose the orientation of the parametrization M to be counterclockwise, so

$$\det(T(s), \nu(s)) = 1, \qquad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z}).$$

For all $\delta > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{V}_\delta = \{x \in \Omega \ : \ \mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\},$$

The map Φ is defined as follows :

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z}) \times (0, t_0) \ni (s, t) \mapsto x = M(s) - t\,\nu(s) \in \mathcal{V}_{t_0}. \tag{3.1}$$

Alternatively, for $x \in \mathcal{V}_{t_0}$, one can write

$$\Phi^{-1}(x) := (s(x), t(x)) \in \mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z}) \times (0, t_0), \tag{3.2}$$

where $t(x) = \mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ and $s(x) \in \mathbb{R}/(|\partial\Omega|\mathbb{Z})$ is the coordinate of the point $M(s(x)) \in \partial\Omega$ satisfying $\mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) = |x - M(s(x))|$.

The determinant of the Jacobian of the transformation Φ^{-1} is given by:

$$a(s, t) = 1 - t\kappa(s).$$

For all $u \in L^2(\mathcal{V}_\delta)$, define the function

$$\widetilde{u}(s, t) := u(\Phi(s, t)). \tag{3.3}$$

For all $u \in H^1(\mathcal{V}_{t_0})$, we have, with $\widetilde{u} = u \circ \Phi$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{t_0}} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int \Big[(1 - t\kappa(s))^{-2}|\partial_s \widetilde{u}|^2 + |\partial_t \widetilde{u}|^2\Big](1 - t\kappa(s))ds dt\,, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{t_0}} |u|^2 dx = \int |\widetilde{u}(s, t)|^2 (1 - t\kappa(s))ds dt. \tag{3.5}$$

4. Three auxiliary operators

In this section, we introduce three reference $1D$-operators and determine their spectra.

4.1. **1D Laplacian on the half line.**

As simplest model, we start with the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,0} := -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \tag{4.1}$$

with domain

$$\{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \ : \ u'(0) = -u(0)\}\,. \tag{4.2}$$

The spectrum of this operator is $\{-1\} \cup [0, \infty)$. This operator will appear in Sections 6, 7 and 9.

However, this operator has the drawback that it is not with compact resolvent. To overcome this issue, we consider this operator in a bounded interval $(0, L)$ with L sufficiently big and Dirichlet condition at $\tau = L$. This operator has a compact resolvent, and we will have fair knowledge about the behavior of its spectrum in the limit $L \to \infty$.

4.2. **1D Laplacian on an interval.**

Let $h > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Consider the self-adjoint operator

$$\mathcal{H}_{0,h} = -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} \quad \text{in } L^2((0, h^{-\rho}))\,, \tag{4.3}$$

with domain,

$$D(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}) = \{u \in H^2((0, h^{-\rho})) \ : \ u'(0) = -u(0) \quad \text{and} \quad u(h^{-\rho}) = 0\}\,. \tag{4.4}$$

The spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{H}_{0,h}$ is purely discrete and consists of a strictly increasing sequence of eigenvalues denoted by $(\lambda_n(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}))$.

Note that this operator is associated with the quadratic form

$$V_h \ni u \mapsto \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} |u'(\tau)|^2\, d\tau \, - |u(0)|^2\,,$$

with $V_h := \{v \in H^1((0, h^{-\rho})) \,|\, v(h^{-\rho}) = 0\}$.

The next lemma gives the localization of the two first eigenvalues $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,h})$ and $\lambda_2(\mathcal{H}_{0,h})$ for small values of h.

Lemma 4.1. *As $h \to 0_+$, there holds*

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}) = -1 + 4\big(1+o(1)\big)\exp\big(-2h^{-\rho}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}) \geq 0\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Let $w \geq 0$ and $\lambda = -w^2$ be a negative eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{H}_{0,h}$ with an eigenfunction u. We have,

$$-u'' = \lambda u \quad \text{in } (0, h^{-\rho})\,, \quad u'(0) = -u(0)\,, \quad u(h^{-\rho}) = 0\,. \tag{4.6}$$

If $w = 0$ and $h < 1$, then the unique solution of (4.6) is $u = 0$. Thus, we suppose that $w > 0$. Easy computations give us

$$u(\tau) = A\Big(\exp(-w\tau) - \exp(-2wh^{-\rho})\exp(w\tau)\Big)\,, \tag{4.7}$$

with the following condition on w,

$$w\Big(1+\exp(-2wh^{-\rho})\Big) = 1 - \exp(-2wh^{-\rho})\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Define the function

$$f(v) = v\Big(1+\exp(-2vh^{-\rho})\Big) - \Big(1-\exp(-2vh^{-\rho})\Big) = v - 1 + (v+1)\exp(-2vh^{-\rho})\,.$$

We will locate the zeros of f in $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0,\infty)$. Notice that $f(v) > 0$ for all $v \geq 1$. This forces the solution w of (4.8) to live in $(0,1)$.

If $v \in [h^{\rho/2}, 1-h^{\rho/2}]$, then as $h \to 0_+$, the term $\exp(-2vh^{-\rho})$ is exponentially small and

$$\frac{v+1}{v-1}\exp(-2vh^{-\rho}) \to 0\,.$$

Writing

$$f(v) = (v-1)\left(1 + \frac{v+1}{v-1}\exp(-2vh^{-\rho})\right)\,,$$

we observe that there exists $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $h \in (0,h_0)$,

$$f(v) < 0 \quad \text{in} \quad [h^{\rho/2}, 1-h^{\rho/2}]\,.$$

This forces w to live in $(0,h^{\rho/2}) \cup (1-h^{\rho/2},1)$. Since $f(v) = -1 + o(1)$ as $v \to 0_+$, then there exists $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $h \in (0,h_0)$ and $v \in (0,h^{\rho/2})$, $f(v) < 0$. Thus, f may vanish in $(1-h^{\rho/2},1)$ only. Notice that

$$f'(v) = 1 - 2h^{-\rho}\left(v + 1 - \frac{h^\rho}{2}\right)\exp(-2vh^{-\rho}) > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (1-h^{\rho/2},1)\,.$$

Thus f has a unique zero w_h in the interval $(1-h^{\rho/2},1)$. This zero corresponds to the first eigenvalue of $\mathcal{H}_{0,h}$ by the relation $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}) = -w_h^2$. Inserting

$$w_h = 1 + \mathcal{O}(h^{\rho/2})$$

into (4.8), we get that

$$w_h = 1 - 2\big(1+o(1)\big)\exp\big(-2h^{-\rho}\big)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

As a consequence, we observe that $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}) = -w_h^2$ satisfies (4.5). Since f does not vanish in $\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus (1-h^{\rho/2},1)$, then $\lambda_2(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}) \geq 0$. □

Remark 4.2. Note that by domain monotonicity and minimax characterization, we get directly from the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}_{0,0}$ that $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}) \geq -1$ and $\lambda_2(\mathcal{H}_{0,h}) \geq 0$.

Remark 4.3. Along the proof of Lemma 4.1, we obtain that the eigenspace of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,h})$ is generated by the function

$$u_{0,h}(\tau) = A_h\Big(\exp(-w_h\tau) - \exp(-2w_hh^{-\rho})\exp(w_h\tau)\Big)\,, \tag{4.10}$$

with w_h a constant satisfying (4.9). The constant A_h is selected so that $u_{0,h}$ is normalized in $L^2((0,h^{-\rho}))$. As $h \to 0_+$, A_h satisfies,

$$A_h = \sqrt{2} + \mathcal{O}\Big(h^{-\rho/2}\exp(-h^{-\rho})\Big)\,. \tag{4.11}$$

4.3. **1D operator in a weighted space.**
Let $h \in (0,1)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \in (0,1/2)$ and $|\beta| h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} < \frac{1}{3}$. Consider the self-adjoint operator

$$\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h} = -\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \beta h^{1/2}(1-\beta h^{1/2}\tau)^{-1}\frac{d}{d\tau} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2\big((0,h^{-\rho});(1-\beta h^{1/2}\tau)d\tau\big)\,, \tag{4.12}$$

with domain

$$D(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}) = \{u \in H^2((0,h^{-\rho})) \ : \ u'(0) = -u(0) \quad \text{and} \quad u(h^{-\rho}) = 0\}\,. \tag{4.13}$$

The operator $\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}$ is the Friedrichs extension in $L^2\big((0,h^{-\rho});(1-\beta h^{1/2}\tau)d\tau\big)$ associated with the quadratic form defined for $u \in V_h$, by

$$q_{\beta,h}(u) = \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} |u'(\tau)|^2(1-\beta h^{1/2}\tau)\,d\tau - |u(0)|^2\,.$$

The operator $\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}$ is with compact resolvent. The strictly increasing sequence of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}$ is denoted by $(\lambda_n(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}))_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$.

The next lemma localizes the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}$ near that of the operator $\mathcal{H}_{0,h}$ as h goes to 0.

Lemma 4.4. *There exist constants $C > 0$ and $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $h \in (0,h_0)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there holds,*

$$|\lambda_n(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}) - \lambda_n(\mathcal{H}_{0,h})| \leq C|\beta| h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}\,\big|\lambda_n(\mathcal{H}_{0,h})\big|\,.$$

Proof. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $u \in H^1((0,h^{-\rho}))$,

$$\Big|q_{\beta,h}(u) - q_{0,h}(u)\Big| \leq |\beta| h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}\, q_{0,h}(u)\,,$$
$$\Big|\|u\|^2_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho});(1-\beta h^{1/2}\tau)d\tau)} - \|u\|^2_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho});d\tau)}\Big| \leq |\beta| h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}\,\|u\|^2_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho});d\tau)}\,.$$

The conclusion of the lemma is now a simple application of the min-max principle. □

The next proposition states a two-term asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h})$ as $h \to 0_+$.

Proposition 4.5. *There exist constants $C > 0$ and $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $h \in (0,h_0)$ and $|\beta| h^\rho < \frac{1}{3}$, there holds,*

$$\Big|\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}) - (-1-\beta h^{1/2})\Big| \leq C\beta^2 h\,.$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$f(\tau) = \chi(\tau\, h^\rho)\, u_0(\tau)\,,$$

where

$$u_0(\tau) = \sqrt{2}\,\exp(-\tau)\,,$$

and $\chi \in C_c^\infty([0,\infty))$ satisfies

$$0 \leq \chi \leq 1 \text{ in } [0,\infty)\,, \quad \chi = 1 \text{ in } [0,1/2) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi = 0 \text{ in } [1/2,\infty)\,.$$

Clearly, the function f is in the domain of the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}$. It is easy to get the estimates:

$$\Big|\|f\|^2_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho});(1-\beta h^{1/2}\tau)d\tau)} - 1\Big| \leq C\beta^2 h\,,$$
$$\|\{\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h} - (-1-\beta h^{1/2})\}f\|_{L^2((0,h^{-\rho});(1-\beta h^{1/2}\tau)d\tau)} \leq C\beta^2 h\,.$$

By the spectral theorem, we deduce that there exists an eigenvalue $\lambda(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h})$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}$ such that

$$\Big|\lambda(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}) - (-1-\beta h^{1/2})\Big| \leq C\,\beta^2 h\,.$$

Thanks to Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4, we have

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}) = \lambda(\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h})\,.$$

□

5. Localization of ground states

5.1. **Localization near the boundary.**

Theorem 5.1. *Let $M \in (-1,0)$. For all $\alpha < \sqrt{-M}$, there exist constants $C>0$ and $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, if u_h is a normalized eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_h$ with eigenvalue $\mu(h) \leq Mh$, then, for all $h \in (0,h_0)$,*

$$\int_\Omega \left(|u_h(x)|^2 + h|\nabla u_h(x)|^2\right) \exp\left(\frac{2\alpha\,\mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)}{h^{1/2}}\right) dx \leq C\,.$$

Proof. Let $t(x) = \mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)$ and $\Phi(x) = \exp(\frac{\alpha\, t(x)}{h^{1/2}})$. We have the simple identity

$$\langle \Phi^2\, u_h, \mathcal{L}_h u_h\rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \mu(h)\|\Phi\, u_h\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,.$$

By an integration by parts, we get the useful identity

$$q_h^\Phi(u_h) := \int_\Omega \left(|h\nabla(\Phi\, u_h)|^2 - h^2|\nabla\Phi|^2|u_h|^2\right) dx - h^{3/2}\int_{\partial\Omega} |\Phi\, u_h|^2\, ds(x) = \mu(h)\|\Phi\, u_h\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,. \tag{5.1}$$

Consider a partition of unity of $\mathbb{R}$

$$\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 = 1\,,$$

such that $\chi_1 = 1$ in $(-\infty,1)$, $\mathrm{supp}\chi_1 \subset (-\infty,2)$, $\chi_1 \geq 0$ and $\chi_2 \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

Define

$$\chi_{j,h}(x) = \chi_j\left(\frac{t(x)}{h^{1/2}}\right)\,, \quad j \in \{1,2\}\,.$$

Associated with this partition of unity, we have the simple standard decomposition

$$q_h^\Phi(u_h) = \sum_{j=1}^2 q_{j,h}^\Phi(\, u_h)\,,$$

where

$$q_{1,h}^\Phi(u_h) = \int_\Omega \left(|h\nabla(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\, u_h)|^2 - h^2|\nabla(\chi_{1,h}\Phi)|^2|u_h|^2\right) dx - h^{3/2}\int_{\partial\Omega} |\chi_{1,h}\Phi\, u_h|^2\, ds(x)\,, \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$q_{2,h}^\Phi(u_h) = \int_\Omega \left(|h\nabla(\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\, u_h)|^2 - h^2|\nabla(\chi_{2,h}\Phi)|^2|u_h|^2\right) dx\,. \tag{5.3}$$

A rearrangement of the terms in (5.1)-(5.3) will yield the existence of a constant C such that

$$\int_{\{t(x)\leq 2h^{1/2}\}} \left(|h\nabla(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\, u_h)|^2 - Ch|\Phi\, u_h|^2 - \mu(h)|\chi_{1,h}\Phi\, u_h|^2\right) dx - h^{3/2}\int_{\partial\Omega} |\chi_{1,h}\Phi\, u_h|^2\, ds(x)$$
$$\leq \int_\Omega \left(-|h\nabla(\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\, u_h)|^2 + (M+\alpha^2)h|\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\, u_h|^2\right) dx\,. \tag{5.4}$$

The definition of Φ, the assumption on $\mu(h)<0$, and the normalization of u_h yield

$$-\int_{\{t(x)\leq 2h^{1/2}\}} \mu(h)|\chi_{1,h}\Phi\, u_h|^2\, dx \geq 0\,,$$

and (notice that $0 \leq \Phi(x) \leq \exp(2\alpha)$ when $t(x) \leq 2h^{1/2}$)

$$-\int_{\{t(x)\leq 2h^{1/2}\}} h|\Phi\, u_h|^2 \geq -2Ch\,.$$

At this stage we are left with the inequality:

$$\int_{\{t(x)\leq 2h^{1/2}\}} \left(|h\nabla(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2\right)\,dx - h^{3/2}\int_{\partial\Omega}|\chi_{1,h}\Phi\,u_h|^2\,ds(x)$$
$$\leq \int_\Omega \left(-|h\nabla(\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2 + (M+\alpha^2)h|\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\,u_h|^2\right)\,dx\, + 2Ch\,. \quad (5.5)$$

In a small tubular neighborhood of the boundary, we may use the boundary coordinates (s,t) recalled in Section 3 and obtain

$$\int_{\{t(x)\leq 2h^{1/2}\}} |h\nabla(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2\,dx - h^{3/2}\int_{\partial\Omega}|\chi_{1,h}\Phi\,u_h|^2\,ds(x)$$
$$\geq (1-Ch^{1/2})\int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2}\left(\int_0^{2h^{1/2}} h^2|\partial_t(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2\,dt - h^{3/2}|(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)(s,t=0)|^2\right)\,ds\,. \quad (5.6)$$

Let $\frac{1}{2}<\rho<1$. We do the change of variable $\tau=h^{-1}t$ and introduce the function $v(s,\tau)=(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)(s,t)$. The function v vanishes for $\tau\geq 2h^{-1/2}$, and when h is sufficiently small, $2h^{-1/2}\leq h^{-\rho}$. In this way we get

$$\int_0^{2h^{1/2}} h^2|\partial_t(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2\,dt - h^{3/2}|(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)(s,t=0)|^2 = h\left(\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|\partial_\tau v|^2\,d\tau - h^{1/2}|v(s,\tau=0)|^2\right)\,.$$

Now, we use the min-max principle and Lemma 4.1 to obtain

$$\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|\partial_\tau v|^2\,d\tau - h^{1/2}|v(s,\tau=0)|^2 \geq \lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,h})\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|v|^2\,d\tau \geq -2h\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|v|^2\,d\tau\,.$$

Coming back to the t variable, we get

$$\int_0^{2h^{1/2}} h^2|\partial_t(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2\,dt - h^{3/2}|(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)(s,t=0)|^2 \geq -2h\int_0^{2h^{1/2}} h^2|\partial_t(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2\,dt\,.$$

Inserting this into (5.6), we obtain

$$\int_{\{t(x)\leq 2h^{1/2}\}} |h\nabla(\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2\,dx - h^{3/2}\int_{\partial\Omega}|\chi_{1,h}\Phi\,u_h|^2\,ds(x)$$
$$\geq -2(1-Ch^{1/2})h\int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2}\int_0^{2h^{1/2}}|\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h|^2\,dtds \geq -C'h\int_\Omega|\chi_{1,h}\,\Phi\,u_h|^2\,dx \geq -C'h\,.$$

Now, we infer from (5.5)

$$-(C'+2C)h \geq \int_\Omega \left(-|h\nabla(\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2 + (M+\alpha^2)h|\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\,u_h|^2\right)\,dx\,.$$

Since $(M+\alpha^2)<0$, we deduce that

$$\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla(\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\,u_h)|^2 - (M+\alpha^2)|\chi_{2,h}\,\Phi\,u_h|^2\right)\,dx \leq (C'+2C)\,,$$

which is enough to deduce the conclusion in Theorem 5.1. □

5.2. **Localization near the point of maximal curvature.**

We will apply the result in Lemma 4.5 to obtain a lower bound of the quadratic form

$$q_h(u) = \int |h\nabla u|^2 \, dx - h^{3/2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 \, ds(x) \,. \tag{5.7}$$

Theorem 5.2. *There exist constants $C > 0$ and $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and $u \in H^1(\Omega)$,*

$$q_h(u) \geq \int_\Omega U_h(x) |u(x)|^2 \, dx \,,$$

where

$$U_h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > 2h^{1/8} \,, \\ -h - \kappa(s(x))h^{3/2} - Ch^{7/4} & \text{if } \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq 2h^{1/8} \,. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider a partition of unity of $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 = 1$$

with $\chi_1 = 1$ in $(-\infty, 1]$ and $\operatorname{supp}\chi_2 \subset [1, \infty)$. For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, put,

$$\chi_{j,h}(x) = \chi_j \left(\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)}{h^{1/8}} \right) .$$

We have the decomposition

$$q_h(u) = q_{1,h}(u) + q_{2,h}(u) - h^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} \big\| \, |\nabla \chi_{j,h}| u \, \big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \,,$$

where

$$q_{1,h}(u) = \int_\Omega |h\nabla(\chi_{1,h}\, u)|^2 \, dx - h^{3/2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 \, ds(x) \,,$$

$$q_{2,h}(u) = \int_\Omega |h\nabla(\chi_{2,h}\, u)|^2 \, dx \geq 0 \,,$$

and

$$h^2 \big\| \, |\nabla \chi_{j,h}| u \, \big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_1 h^{7/4} \int_{\{\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega) \leq 2h^{1/8}\}} |u|^2 \, dx \,.$$

Thus,

$$q_h(u) \geq q_{1,h}(\chi_{1,h}\, u) - C_1\, h^{7/4} \int_{\{\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega) \leq 2h^{1/8}\}} |u|^2 \, dx \,.$$

In the support of $\chi_{1,h}$, we may use the boundary coordinates (s,t) recalled in Section 3 and write

$$q_{1,h}(u) \geq \int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2} \Big(\int_0^{2h^{1/8}} |h\partial_t(\chi_{1,h}\, u)|^2 \, (1 - \kappa(s)\, t) dt - h^{3/2} |(\chi_{1,h}\, u)(s, t=0)|^2 \Big) \, ds$$
$$+ \int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2} |\partial_s(\chi_{1,h}\, u)|^2 \, (1 - \kappa(s)\, t)^{-1} dt ds \,. \tag{5.8}$$

Recall the operator in $\mathcal{H}_{\beta,h}$ in (4.12). By a simple scaling argument (similar to the one appearing after (5.6)) and the min-max principle, we have

$$\int_0^{2h^{1/8}} |h\partial_t(\chi_{1,h}\, u)|^2 \, (1 - \kappa(s)\, t) dt - h^{3/2} |(\chi_{1,h}\, u)(s, t=0)|^2$$
$$\geq h\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa(s),h}) \int_0^{h^{1/8}} |\chi_{1,h}\, u|^2 \, (1 - \kappa(s)\, t) dt \,. \tag{5.9}$$

Thanks to Proposition 4.5, we deduce the following lower bound,

$$q_{1,h}(u) \geq \int_\Omega (-h - \kappa(s(x))h^{3/2} - C_2h^2)|\chi_{1,h}\, u|^2\, dx\,. \tag{5.10}$$

Therefore, after collecting the lower bounds for $q_{1,h}(u)$ and $q_{2,h}(u)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} q_h(u) &\geq \int_\Omega (-h - \kappa(s(x))h^{3/2} - C_2h^2)|\chi_{1,h}\, u|^2\, dx - C_1h^{7/4}\int_{\{\mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)\leq 2h^{1/8}\}} |u|^2\, dx \\ &\geq \int_{\{\mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)\leq 2h^{1/8}\}} (-h - \kappa(s(x))h^{3/2} - C_1h^{7/4} - C_2h^2)|u|^2\, dx\,. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.2, we select the constant $C = C_1 + C_2$ in the definition of U_h. □

Remark 5.3. Let $M > 0$ and u_h a normalized eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_h$ with eigenvalue satisfying $\mu(h) \leq -h - h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max} + Mh^{7/4}$. Using the inequalities in (5.8)-(5.9) and the upper bound satisfied by $\mu(h)$, we get the interesting estimate

$$\|\partial_s(\chi_{1,h}u_h)\|_2 \leq Ch^{-1/8}\,. \tag{5.11}$$

To see this, notice that $q_{1,h}(u_h) \leq q_h(u_h) \leq \mu(h) \leq -h - h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max} + Mh^{7/4}$. Now plugging (5.10) into (5.8), we get

$$\int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2} |h\partial_s(\chi_{1,h}\, u)|^2\, (1-\kappa(s)\, t)^{-1} dtds \leq C\big(\mu(h) - (-h - h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max} - C_2h^2)\big) \leq Ch^{7/8}\,.$$

In the support of $\chi_{1,h}$, we may write $(1 - t\kappa(s))^{-1} \geq 1$ and get the inequality in (5.11).

Remark 5.4. The min-max principle and the conclusion in Theorem 5.2 yield a lower bound on the first eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$, namely, there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that, for all $h \in (0, h_0)$,

$$\mu_1(h) \geq -h - \kappa_{\max}h^{3/2} - Ch^{7/4}\,.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 5.2, we can prove, following the same steps as in [5, Thm. 4.9], that:

Theorem 5.5. *Let $M > 0$ and $\chi_1 \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$. There exist constants $C > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and $h_0 \in (0,1)$ such that, if u_h is a normalized eigenfunction of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ with eigenfunction $\mu(h) \leq -h - \kappa_{\max}h^{3/2} + Mh^{7/4}$, then for all $h \in (0, h_0)$,*

$$\int_\Omega \chi_1\left(\frac{\mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)}{h^{1/8}}\right)^2 \big\{|u_h(x)|^2 + h|\nabla u_h(x)|^2\big\} \exp\left(\frac{2\alpha\,|s(x)|^2}{h^{1/4}}\right) dx \leq C\,.$$

The localization of the bound states in Theorem 5.5 near the set

$$n(\partial\Omega) = \{x \in \partial\Omega \ :\ \kappa(s(x)) = \kappa_{\max}\}$$

can be expressed using the Agmon distance $\hat{d}_{\partial\Omega}(s, n(\partial\Omega))$ in $\partial\Omega$ associated with the metric $(\kappa_{\max} - \kappa(s))ds^2$. Let us define

$$\hat{d}(x, n(\partial\Omega), h) = \hat{d}_{\partial\Omega}(s(x), n(\partial\Omega))\chi_1(d(x,\partial\Omega)) + h^{-1/4}d(x,\partial\Omega)\,.$$

As in [4, Theorem 8.3.4], Theorem 5.2 yields the following conclusion. Let $M > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $h_\epsilon > 0$ and $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that, for all $h \in (0, h_\epsilon]$, if u_h is a normalized ground state as in Theorem 5.5, then,

$$\Big\| \exp\big(\delta h^{-1/4}\hat{d}(x, n(\partial\Omega), h)\big)\, u_h\Big\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_\epsilon \exp(\epsilon h^{-1/4})\,.$$

This estimate may have an advantage over that in Theorem 5.5 when approximating the bound states by suitably constructed trial states.

A simple consequence of Theorems 5.1 and 5.5 is:

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\chi \subset [0, t_0)$. Under the assumptions in Theorem 5.5, if t_0 is sufficiently small, then there exists a constant C such that,*

$$\int_\Omega |s(x)|^k \, |\chi(t(x))|^2 |u_h(x)|^2 \, dx \leq C\, h^{k/8}\,.$$

Here we have also used the first localization.

6. Construction of approximate eigenfunctions

In this section, we construct trial states (ϕ_n) in the domain of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ such that, for every fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_h \phi_n - \left(-h - \kappa_{\max} h^{3/2} + (2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}}\, h^{7/4} \right) \phi_n \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C h^{15/8} \|\phi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\,. \tag{6.1}$$

Notice that a direct consequence of (6.1) is:

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_h \phi_n - \left(-h - \kappa_{\max} h^{3/2} + (2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}}\, h^{7/4} \right) \phi_n \,,\, \phi_n \right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C h^{15/8} \|\phi_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,. \tag{6.2}$$

The trial states (ϕ_n) will be supported near the boundary of Ω, so that the use of the boundary coordinates (s,t) recalled in Section 3 is valid. The operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ is expressed in (s,t) coordinates as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_h = -h^2 a^{-1}\partial_s(a^{-1}\partial_s) - h^2 a^{-1}\partial_t(a\partial_t) \quad \big(\text{in } L^2(a\,ds\,dt)\big)\,,$$

where

$$a(s,t) = 1 - t\kappa(s)\,.$$

In boundary coordinates, the Robin condition in (1.2) becomes

$$h\partial_t u = -h^{3/2} u \quad \text{on} \quad t = 0\,.$$

The change of variable $(s,t) \mapsto (h^{1/8}\sigma, h^{1/2}\tau)$ transforms the above expression of $\mathcal{L}_h$ to

$$\mathcal{L}_h = h\left(-h^{3/4}\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\sigma(\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\sigma) - \tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\tau(\tilde{a}\partial_\tau) \right)\,, \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$\tilde{a}(\sigma,\tau) = 1 - h^{1/2}\tau\kappa(h^{1/8}\sigma)\,. \tag{6.4}$$

The boundary condition is now transformed to

$$\partial_\tau \widetilde{u} = -\widetilde{u} \quad \text{on} \quad \tau = 0\,.$$

Recall that the value of the maximal curvature is $\kappa_{\max} = \kappa(0)$. We have the following asymptotic expansions:

$$\tilde{a}(\sigma,\tau) = 1 - h^{1/2}\tau\kappa(0) + h^{1/2}\tau\epsilon_1(\sigma)\,, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\tau\tilde{a}(\sigma,\tau) = -h^{1/2}\kappa(0) - h^{3/4}\frac{\kappa''(0)}{2!}\sigma^2 + h^{7/8}\epsilon_2(\sigma,\tau)\,, \tag{6.6}$$

$$\tilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)^{-1} = 1 + h^{1/2}\epsilon_3(\sigma,\tau)\,, \tag{6.7}$$

$$\tilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)^{-2} = 1 + h^{1/2}\epsilon_4(\sigma,\tau)\,, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\partial_\sigma\left\{\tilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)^{-1}\right\} = -h^{5/8}\epsilon_5(\sigma,\tau)\,, \tag{6.9}$$

where, for $0 < h < \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq \tau \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{-\rho})$ and $\sigma = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1/8})$, the functions ϵ_j, $j = 1, \cdots, 5$, satisfy,

$$|\epsilon_1(\sigma)| \,\leq\, C|\sigma|^2\,, \quad |\epsilon_2(\sigma,\tau)| \,\leq\, C(|\sigma|^3 + h^{1/8}\tau)\,, \quad |\epsilon_3(\sigma,\tau)| + |\epsilon_4(\sigma,\tau)| + |\epsilon_5(\sigma,\tau)| \,\leq\, C\tau\,. \tag{6.10}$$

This gives us the following identities

$$-\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\tau(\tilde{a}\partial_\tau) = -\partial_\tau^2 + h^{1/2}\kappa(0)\partial_\tau + h^{3/4}\frac{\kappa''(0)}{2!}\sigma^2\partial_\tau + h^{7/8}q_1(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\tau\,, \tag{6.11}$$

$$-h^{3/4}\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\sigma(\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\sigma) = -h^{3/4}\partial_\sigma^2 + h^{7/8}\left(h^{3/8}q_2(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\sigma^2 + h^{1/2}q_3(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\sigma\right). \tag{6.12}$$

where the functions q_1, q_2, q_3 satisfy for $0 < h < \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq \tau \leq \mathcal{O}(h^{-\rho})$ and $|\sigma| = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1/8})$,

$$|q_1(\sigma,\tau)| \leq C\left(|\sigma|^3 + h^{1/8}\tau\right) \quad \text{and} \quad |q_2(\sigma,\tau)| + |q_3(\sigma,\tau)| \leq C\,\tau\,. \tag{6.13}$$

We note for further use the expressions of the functions q_1, q_2, q_3:

$$q_1(\sigma,\tau) = h^{-7/8}\left\{-\widetilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)^{-1}\partial_\tau\big(\widetilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)\big) - h^{1/2}\kappa(0) - h^{3/4}\frac{\kappa''(0)}{2}\sigma^2\right\},$$

$$q_2(\sigma,\tau) = h^{-1/8}\big(1-\widetilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)\big)\,, \quad q_3(\sigma,\tau) = -\widetilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)\tau\kappa'(h^{1/8}\sigma)\,. \tag{6.14}$$

Consequently, we have the formal expansion of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$,

$$h^{-1}\mathcal{L}_h = P_0 + h^{1/2}P_2 + h^{3/4}P_3 + h^{7/8}Q_h\,, \tag{6.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= -\partial_\tau^2\,,\\ P_2 &= \kappa(0)\partial_\tau = \kappa_{\max}\partial_\tau\,,\\ P_3 &= -\partial_\sigma^2 + \frac{\kappa''(0)}{2}\sigma^2\partial_\tau\,,\\ Q_h &= q_1(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\tau + h^{3/8}q_2(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\sigma^2 + h^{3/8}q_3(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\sigma\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

Since $\kappa(0)$ is the non-degenerate maximum of κ, then $\kappa''(0) < 0$. The eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator

$$-\partial_\sigma^2 + \frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}\sigma^2 \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

are $(2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
The corresponding normalized eigenfunctions are denoted by $f_n(\sigma)$. They have the form

$$f_n(\sigma) = h_n(\sigma)\exp\left(-\sqrt{-\frac{\kappa''(0)}{2}}\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right), \tag{6.17}$$

where the $h_n(\sigma)$ are the rescaled Hermite polynomials.

Define the trial state $\phi_n \in L^2(\Omega)$ in (s,t) coordinates as follows:

$$\phi_n(s,t) = h^{-5/16}\,\chi_1\left(\frac{s}{|\partial\Omega|}\right)\,\chi_1\left(\frac{t}{h^{1/8}}\right)\,u_0(h^{-1/2}\,t)\,f_n(h^{-1/8}s)\,, \tag{6.18}$$

where

$$u_0(\tau) = \sqrt{2}\exp(-\tau)\,. \tag{6.19}$$

The function u_0 should be interpreted as the ground state of the model operator $\mathcal{H}_{0,0}$ introduced in (4.1).

The construction of the trial state ϕ_n is based on the simple observation that the function $g(\sigma,\tau) = u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)$ satisfies

$$P_0 g = -g\,, \quad P_2 g = -\kappa_{\max} g\,, \quad P_3 g = (2n-1)g\,,$$

so that, in light of the expression of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ in (6.15), we expect that (6.1) holds true. The computations that we present below will show that (6.1) is indeed true.

First, notice that, the explicit expression of ϕ_n and (3.5) give us

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(h^{3/4})\,. \tag{6.20}$$

Next, we observe that, after a change of variables, (6.15) yields (here $k_2 = -\kappa''(0)$)

$$h^{-1}\mathcal{L}_h\phi_n(s,t) = \big(-1-\kappa_{\max}h^{1/2}+(2n+1)\frac{k_2}{2}h^{3/4}\big)\varphi_n(\sigma,\tau) + R\varphi_n(\sigma,\tau)\,, \tag{6.21}$$

where $\varphi_n(\sigma,\tau) = \phi_n(h^{1/8}\sigma, h^{1/2}\tau)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h^{5/16}\,R\varphi_n(\sigma,\tau) &= -\kappa_{\max}h^{1/2}h^{3/8}\chi_1'(h^{3/8}\tau)\chi_1\left(\frac{h^{1/8}\sigma}{|\partial\Omega|}\right)u_0(\tau)\,f_n(\sigma)\\ &\quad - h^{3/8}\Big(2\chi_1'(h^{3/8}\tau)+h^{3/8}\chi_1''(h^{3/8}\tau)\Big)\chi_1\left(\frac{h^{1/8}\sigma}{|\partial\Omega|}\right)u_0(\tau)\,f_n(\sigma)\\ &\quad - h^{7/8}\Big(2\chi_1'\left(\frac{h^{1/8}\sigma}{|\partial\Omega|}\right)+h^{1/8}\chi_1''\left(\frac{h^{1/8}\sigma}{|\partial\Omega|}\right)\Big)\chi_1(h^{3/8}\tau)\,u_0(\tau)\,f_n(\sigma)\\ &\quad + h^{7/8}Q_h\Big(\chi_1\left(h^{3/8}\tau\right)\,\chi_1\left(\frac{h^{1/8}\sigma}{|\partial\Omega|}\right)u_0(\tau)\,f_n(\sigma)\Big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Using the expression of Q_h and the exponential decay of u_0 and f_n at infinity, it is easy to check that

$$\int |R\varphi_n(\sigma,\tau)|^2\widetilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)\,h^{5/8}d\sigma d\tau \le Ch^{7/4}\,.$$

Now, we infer from (6.21),

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\|\mathcal{L}_h\phi_n - \big(-h-\kappa_{\max}h^{3/2}+(2n+1)\frac{k_2}{2}h^{7/4}\big)\phi_n\Big\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad = h\,\|R\varphi_n(\sigma,\tau)\|_{L^2(h^{5/8}\widetilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)d\sigma d\tau)} \le Ch^{15/8}\,, \end{aligned}$$

thereby proving (6.1).

Next, we indicate how (6.1) is useful to obtain upper bounds of the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$. As a consequence of the spectral theorem, (6.1) yields that, for every fixed $n\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists an eigenvalue $\widetilde{\mu}_n$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ such that

$$\widetilde{\mu}_n = -h-\kappa_{\max}h^{3/2}+(2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,h^{7/4}+\mathcal{O}(h^{15/8})\,.$$

Thus, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $h>0$ sufficiently small, we get an increasing sequence of distinct eigenvalues $(\widetilde{\mu}_j)_{j=1}^n$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$. Clearly, the min-max eigenvalues $(\mu_j(\mathcal{L}_h))$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ will satisfy

$$\forall\, j\in\{1,2,\cdots,n\}\,,\quad \mu_j(\mathcal{L}_h)\le \max_{1\le i\le j}\widetilde{\mu}_i \le \widetilde{\mu}_j.$$

In that way, we arrive at:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$. There exist constants $h_n\in(0,1)$ and $C_n>0$ such that the min-max eigenvalues $(\mu_j(\mathcal{L}_h))_{j=1}^n$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ satisfy, for all $h\in(0,h_n)$,*

$$\mu_j(\mathcal{L}_h)\le -h-\kappa_{\max}h^{3/2}+(2j-1)\sqrt{\frac{-\kappa''(0)}{2}}\,h^{7/4}+r_j(h)\,,$$

where

$$|r_j(h)|\le C_nh^{15/8}\,.$$

7. Refined trial states

7.1. **Main Result.**

In this section, we construct trial states that will give us, together with the lower bounds that we will derive in the next section, an accurate expansion of the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ valid to any order.

In (6.15), we derived the expression of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ in the re-scaled boundary coordinates $(\sigma,\tau)=(h^{-1/8}s, h^{-1/2}t)$. The expansion in (6.15) involves the operators P_0, P_2, P_3, Q_h in (6.16). The key to the construction of the trial states is to expand the operator Q_h in powers of $h^{1/8}$.

The functions q_1, q_2 and q_3 in (6.16) admit Taylor expansions that can be rearranged in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\sigma,\tau) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{1,j}(\sigma,\tau) h^{j/8}\,,\\ h^{3/8} q_2(\sigma,\tau) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{2,j}(\sigma,\tau) h^{j/8}\,,\\ h^{3/8} q_3(\sigma,\tau) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{3,j}(\sigma,\tau) h^{j/8}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

with the functions $q_{k,j}(\sigma,\tau)$ being polynomial functions of (σ,τ). The operator Q_h in (6.16) admits the formal expansion

$$Q_h = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{j/8} Q_j\,, \tag{7.2}$$

where

$$Q_j = q_{1,j}(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\tau + q_{2,j}(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\sigma^2 + q_{3,j}(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\sigma\,. \tag{7.3}$$

Furthermore, for every $p\geq 1$, $j\geq 0$ and $f\in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}\times\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$, $Q_j f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Remember from (6.19) that $u_0(\tau)=\sqrt{2}\exp(-\tau)$ and $f_n(\sigma)$ is the n-th normalized eigenfunction of the harmonic oscillator $H_{\rm harm}=-\partial_\sigma^2+\frac{k_2}{2}\sigma^2$.

For all $M\geq 0$, we introduce the two operators:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M} = P_0 + h^{1/2}P_2 + h^{3/4}P_3 + h^{7/8}F_M \quad\text{and}\quad F_M=\sum_{j=0}^{M} h^{j/8}Q_j\,. \tag{7.4}$$

Thanks to (6.15) and (7.2), for every $f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that, for all $h\in(0,1)$,

$$\|h^{-1}\mathcal{L}_h f - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\,. \tag{7.5}$$

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$. We will construct a sequence of real numbers $(\zeta_{j,n})_{j=0}^{\infty}$ and two sequences of real-valued Schwartz functions $(v_{n,j})_{j=1}^{\infty}\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $(g_{n,j})_{j=0}^{\infty}\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}\times\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ such that

$$\forall\ j,\quad \partial_\tau g_{n,j}\Big|_{\tau=0} = -g_{n,j}\Big|_{\tau=0}\,,$$

and for all $M\in\mathbb{N}$, the function

$$\Psi_{n,M}(\sigma,\tau) = u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma) + \sum_{j=1}^{M+1} h^{j/8}u_0(\tau)v_{n,j}(\sigma) + h^{7/8}\sum_{j=0}^{M} h^{j/8} g_{n,j}(\sigma,\tau)$$

is in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)$ and satisfies (for all $h\in(0,h_{n,M})$)

$$\Big\|\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M}-\mu_{h,M}\Big)\Psi_{n,M}\Big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{n,M}\, h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\,, \tag{7.6}$$

where

$$\mu_{n,M} = -1 - h^{1/2}\kappa_{\max} + h^{3/4}\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1) + h^{7/8}\sum_{j=0}^{M}\zeta_{j,n}h^{j/8}\,, \tag{7.7}$$

$C_{n,M} > 0$ and $h_{n,M}$ are two constants determined by the values of n and M solely.

Let us mention that, for every j, our definition of the function $g_{n,j}(\sigma,\tau)$ ensures that it is a finite sum of functions having the simple form $F(\sigma)\times U(\tau)$.

Before we proceed in the construction of the aforementioned sequences, we show how they give us refined expansions of the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$. Let χ_1 be the cut-off function as in (6.18). Define a function $\phi_{n,M}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ by means of the boundary coordinates as follows:

$$\phi_{n,M}(s,t) = \chi_1\left(\frac{s}{|\partial\Omega|}\right)\,\chi_1\left(\frac{t}{h^{1/8}}\right)\Psi_{n,M}(h^{-1/8}s, h^{-1/2}t)\,. \tag{7.8}$$

The function $\phi_{n,M}$ is in the domain of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ because the functions $g_{n,j}$ and u_0 satisfy the Robin condition at $\tau = 0$. Since all involved functions in the expression of $\Psi_{n,M}$ are in the Schwartz space, multiplication by cut-off functions will produce small errors in the various calculations–all the error terms are $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$. Also, since the function $u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)$ is normalized in $L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)$, the norm of $\phi_{n,M}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ is equal to $1+o(1)$, as $h\to 0_+$.

Thanks to (7.5) and (7.6), we get that

$$\big\|\mathcal{L}_h\phi_{n,M} - h\mu_{n,M}\phi_{n,M}\big\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}\big(h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\big)\|\Psi_{n,M}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\,.$$

The spectral theorem now gives us:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$. For every $M\geq 0$, there exists an eigenvalue $\widetilde{\mu}_n(h)$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ such that, as $h\to 0_+$,*

$$\widetilde{\mu}_n(h) = -h - h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max} + h^{3/4}\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1) + h^{7/8}\sum_{j=0}^{M}\zeta_{j,n}h^{j/8} + \mathcal{O}\big(h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\big)\,.$$

The rest of the section is devoted to the construction of the numbers $\zeta_{j,n}$ and the functions $\Psi_{n,M}$. That will be done by an iteration process. For simplicity, we will drop n from the notation and write $\zeta_j, \Psi_j, \cdots$.

7.2. The sequence $(\zeta_{j,n})$.

Construction of $(\zeta_{n,0},\Psi_{n,0}) = (\zeta_0,\Psi_0)$.

We want to find a real number ζ_0 and two functions $v_1(\sigma)$, $g_0(\sigma,\tau)$ such that

$$\Psi_0(\sigma,\tau) = u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma) + h^{1/8}u_0(\tau)v_1(\sigma) + h^{7/8}g_0(\sigma,\tau)$$

and

$$\mu_0 = -1 - h^{1/2}\kappa_{\max} + h^{3/4}\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1) + \zeta_0 h^{7/4}$$

satisfy (7.5) for $M=0$.

Recall that the expression of the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M}$ for $M=0$ is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,0} = P_0 + h^{1/2}P_2 + h^{3/4}P_3 + h^{7/8}F_0\,.$$

For every Schwartz function $v(\sigma)$, we have the two simple identities,

$$(P_0+h^{1/2}P_2)u_0(\tau)v(\sigma) = \big(-1-h^{1/2}\kappa_{\max}\big)u_0(\tau)v(\sigma) \quad\text{and}\quad P_3u_0(\tau)v(\sigma) = u_0(\tau)H_{\rm harm}v(\sigma)\,,$$

where $H_{\rm harm}=-\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2}+\frac{k_2}{2}\sigma^2$. A straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,0}-\mu_0\Big)\Psi_0\\&=h^{7/8}\Big[(P_0+1)g_0(\sigma,\tau)+u_0(\tau)\big(H_{\rm harm}-\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)v_1(\sigma)+(F_0-\zeta_0)u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\Big]\\&+h^{7/8}\Big[\big(h^{1/2}P_2+h^{3/4}P_3+h^{7/8}F_0+h^{1/2}\kappa_{\max}-h^{3/4}\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)-\zeta_0h^{7/4}\big)g_0(\sigma,\tau)\Big]\\&+h\Big[F_0-\zeta_0\Big]u_0(\tau)v_1(\sigma)\,.\end{aligned}\tag{7.9}$$

In order that (7.5) is satisfied for $M=0$, it is sufficient to select ζ_0, $g_0(\sigma,\tau)$ and $v_1(\sigma)$ as follows

$$(P_0+1)g_0(\sigma,\tau)+u_0(\tau)\big(H_{\rm harm}-\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)v_1(\sigma)+(F_0-\zeta_0)u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)=0\,.\tag{7.10}$$

We can select ζ_0 such that

$$(F_0-\zeta_0)u_0(\tau)f_n(\varsigma)\perp u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\quad\text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)\,.$$

This is given by the formula:

$$\zeta_0=\langle F_0(u_0\otimes f_n)\,,\,u_0\otimes f_n\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)}\,.\tag{7.11}$$

Consequently,

$$h_1(\sigma):=-\int_0^\infty u_0(\tau)(F_0-\zeta_0)u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\,d\tau\perp f_n(\sigma)\quad\text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R})\,.$$

Since $H_{\rm harm}-\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)$ can be inverted in the orthogonal complement of the n-th eigenfunction $f_n(\sigma)$, we may select $v_1(\sigma)$ to be

$$v_1(\sigma)=\big(H_{\rm harm}-\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)^{-1}(h_1(\sigma))\,.$$

As a consequence of the choice of ζ_0 and $v_1(\sigma)$, we get that, for all σ,

$$\begin{aligned}w_1(\sigma,\cdot):=-u_0(\cdot)\big(H_{\rm harm}-\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)v_1(\sigma)-(F_0-\zeta_0)u_0(\cdot)f_n(\sigma)\\=-u_0(\cdot)h_1(\sigma)-(F_0-\zeta_0)u_0(\cdot)f_n(\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

is in the orthogonal complement of $\{u_0(\tau)\}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty w_1(\sigma,\tau)u_0(\tau)\,d\tau=0\,.$$

Furthermore, since $F_0=q_{1,0}\partial_\tau+q_{2,0}\partial_\sigma^2+q_{3,0}\partial_\sigma$ and every $q_{i,0}$ is a polynomial in (σ,τ), then the function $w_1(\sigma,\tau)$ can be expressed in the form

$$w_1(\sigma,\tau)=-h_1(\sigma)u_0(\tau)+G_1(\sigma)u_1(\tau)+G_2(\sigma)u_2(\tau)+G_3(\sigma)u_3(\tau)\,,\tag{7.12}$$

where the functions h_1, u_i and G_i are Schwartz functions (they inherit this property from the functions u_0 and f_n).

The operator P_0+1 can be inverted in the orthogonal complement of $\{u_0(\tau)\}$, and the inverse is an operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ which sends $\mathcal{S}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ into itself. The proof of this is standard and follows the same argument as in [5, Lemma A.5]. In that way, we may select $g_0(\sigma,\tau)$ to be

$$g_0(\sigma,\cdot)=(P_0+1)^{-1}\big(w_1(\sigma,\cdot)\big)\,,$$

and this function is in the Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}\times\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$, thanks to the decomposition of w_1 in (7.12).

Now, for $M = 1$, the estimate in (7.6) is verified. Furthermore, returning to (7.9) we have proved that:

$$\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,0} - \mu_0\Big)\Psi_0 = h\sum_{j=0}^{10} h^{j/8} r_{0,j}(\sigma,\tau)\,, \tag{7.13}$$

where $r_{0,j}(\sigma,\tau)$ are Schwartz functions.

The iteration process.

Here we suppose that we have selected $(\zeta_0,\cdots,\zeta_M;\Psi_0,\cdots\Psi_M)$ such that (7.5) and the following induction hypothesis are satisfied:

$$\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M} - \mu_M\Big)\Psi_M = h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_M} h^{j/8} r_{M,j}(\sigma,\tau)\,, \tag{7.14}$$

where $r_{M,j}(\sigma,\tau)$ are Schwartz functions. Thanks to (7.13), the condition in (7.14) holds for $M = 0$. We have to select ζ_{M+1} and two functions $v_{M+2}(\sigma)$, $g_{M+1}(\sigma,\tau)$ such that,

$$\Psi_{M+1} = \Psi_M + h^{\frac{M+2}{8}} u_0(\tau) v_{M+1}(\sigma) + h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}} g_{M+1}(\sigma,\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{M+1} = \mu_M + \zeta_{M+1} h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}$$

satisfy (7.5) and (7.14) for M replaced by $M+1$ (and some collection of Schwartz functions $r_{M+1,j}(\sigma,\tau)$).

Notice that we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M+1} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M} + h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}} Q_{M+1}\,,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M+1} - \mu_{M+1}\Big)\Psi_{M+1}\\
&= \Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M} - \mu_M\Big)\Psi_M\\
&+ h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\Big[(P_0+1)g_{M+1}(\sigma,\tau) + u_0(\tau)\big(H_{\rm harm} - \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)v_{M+2}(\sigma) + (Q_{M+1}-\zeta_{M+1})u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\Big]\\
&+ h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\Big[\big(Q_{M+1}-\zeta_{M+1}\big)\big(\Psi_M - u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\big)\Big]\\
&+ h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\Big[\big(h^{1/2}P_2 + h^{3/4}P_3 + h^{7/8}F_{M+1} - (\mu_M+1)\big)g_{M+1}(\sigma,\tau)\Big]\\
&+ h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\Big[h^{1/8}F_{M+1} - \big(\mu_{M+1} + 1 + h^{1/2}\kappa_{\max} - h^{3/4}\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)\Big]u_0(\tau)v_{M+2}(\sigma)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

It is sufficient to select $(\zeta_{M+1}, v_{M+2}, g_{M+1})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_0+1)g_{M+1}(\sigma,\tau) + u_0(\tau)\big(H_{\rm harm} - \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)v_{M+2}(\sigma)&\\
+ (Q_{M+1}-\zeta_{M+1})u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma) + r_{M,0}(\sigma,\tau) = 0\,.&
\end{aligned}$$

We select ζ_{M+1} such that

$$(Q_{M+1}-\zeta_{M+1})u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma) + r_{M,0}(\sigma,\tau) \perp u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)\,,$$

i.e.

$$\zeta_{M+1} = \langle Q_{M+1}(u_0\otimes f_n), u_0\otimes f_n\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)} + \langle r_{M,0}, u_0\otimes f_n\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+)}\,. \tag{7.16}$$

Consequently,

$$h_{M+2}(\sigma) := -\int_0^\infty u_0(\tau)\Big((Q_{M+1}-\zeta_{M+1})u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma) + r_{M,0}(\sigma,\tau)\Big)\,d\tau \perp f_n(\sigma) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R})\,.$$

We select $v_{M+2}(\sigma)$ as follows

$$v_{M+2}(\sigma) = \big(H_{\rm harm} - \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)^{-1}(h_{M+2}(\sigma))\,.$$

As a consequence of the choice of ζ_{M+1} and $v_{M+2}(\sigma)$, we get that, for all σ,

$$w_{M+2}(\sigma,\cdot) := -u_0(\cdot)\big(H_{\rm harm}-\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,(2n-1)\big)v_{M+2}(\sigma)-(Q_{M+1}-\zeta_{M+1})u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)-r_{M,0}(\sigma,\tau)\perp u_0(\cdot)$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
Finally, we select $g_{M+1}(\sigma,\tau)$ as follows

$$g_{M+1}(\sigma,\cdot) = (P_0+1)^{-1}\big(w_{M+2}(\sigma,\cdot)\big)\,.$$

Having selected ζ_{M+1}, v_{M+2} and g_{M+1}, the expansions in (7.15) and (7.14) yield that

$$\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{h,M+1}-\mu_M\Big)\Psi_{M+1} = h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+2}{8}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{M+1}} h^{j/8}r_{M+1,j}(\sigma,\tau)\,,$$

where $r_{M+1,j}(\sigma,\tau)$ are Schwartz functions.

7.3. **Vanishing of even indexed $\zeta_{j,n}$.**

Let us start by observing that $f_1(\sigma)$ is a Gaussian, hence an even function. The other eigenfunctions $f_n(\sigma)$ are generated from $f_1(\sigma)$ by the recursive relation

$$f_n(\sigma) = c_n(L^+)^{n-1}f_1(\sigma)\,,$$

where the constant c_n normalizes f_n in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $L^+ = -\frac{d}{d\sigma}+\sigma$. The operator L^+ transforms even functions to odd functions, and odd functions to even functions. In that way, we observe that, $f_n(\sigma)$ is even when n is odd, and odd otherwise.

Next we examine carefully the terms $q_k(\sigma,\tau)$, $k\in\{1,2,3\}$. Thanks to (6.14), we see that,

$$q_1(\sigma,\tau) = h^{-3/8}\alpha(h^{1/8}\sigma, h^{1/2}\tau)\,,$$

with $\alpha(x,y)$ being a smooth function. We will abuse notation and identify q_1 with its Taylor expansion. In that way we write,

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\sigma,\tau) &= h^{-3/8}\sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{\partial^m\alpha}{\partial x^k\partial y^{m-k}}(0,0)\,(h^{1/8})^k(h^{1/2})^{m-k}\sigma^k\tau^{m-k}\\ &= \sum_{m=3}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{\partial^m\alpha}{\partial x^k\partial y^{m-k}}(0,0)\,h^{\frac{k-3}{8}}\,h^{\frac{4(m-k)}{8}}\,\sigma^k\tau^{m-k}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, in the above expansion of $q_1(\sigma,\tau)$, we see that the coefficients of the terms with odd powers of σ have even powers of $h^{1/8}$ (actually k is odd iff $(k-3)+4(m-k)$ is even). Returning to the expansion of $q_1(\sigma,\tau)$ in (7.1), we get that, for all even j, the function $q_{1,j}(\sigma,\tau)$ is an odd function of σ, while for odd j, it is an even function of σ.

A similar analysis of the terms $q_2(\sigma,\tau)$ and $q_3(\sigma,\tau)$ shows that, for all j, the term $q_{2,j}(\sigma,\tau)$ is an odd function of σ iff j is even, and $q_{3,j}(\sigma,\tau)$ is an odd function of σ iff j is odd.

By the discussion on the parity of the function $f_n(\sigma)$, we see that, if M is even,

$$Q_Mu_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma) = u_0(\tau)\Big(-q_{1,M}f_n(\sigma)+q_{2,M}f_n''(\sigma)+q_{3,j}f_n'(\sigma)\Big)$$

is an odd function of σ when n is odd, and it is an even function when n is even. Consequently, for every n, if M is even, then the function

$$\Big(Q_Mu_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\Big)u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)$$

is an odd function of σ.
Consequently, for all values of τ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(Q_Mu_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\Big)u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\,d\sigma = 0\,.$$

Similarly, one can follow by recursion the parity of $r_{M,0}$ with respect to σ and get for M even

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} r_{M,0}(\sigma,\tau)u_0(\tau)f_n(\sigma)\,d\sigma = 0\,.$$

Inserting this into (7.11) and (7.16), we get that $\zeta_{M,n} = 0$ for every odd M.

8. Lower bounds for the low-lying eigenvalues

8.1. **Useful operators.** Let $\rho \in (0,1)$. The number ρ will be selected as $\rho = \frac{1}{8} + \eta$ with $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{24})$. In the sequel, σ and τ will denoted two variables. The variable σ will live in $\mathbb{R}$ (or in the interval $(h^{-\eta}, h^{\eta})$. The variable τ will live in the interval $(0, h^{-\rho})$). When writing $\mathbb{R}_\sigma$, we mean that that the set of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ is the space where the variable σ varies.

We introduce the following operators in $\mathcal{L}\big(L^2(\mathbb{R}_\sigma), L^2(\mathbb{R}_\sigma \times (0,h^{-\rho}))\big)$, and in $\mathcal{L}\big(L^2(\mathbb{R}_\sigma \times (0,h^{-\rho})), L^2(\mathbb{R}_\sigma)\big)$ respectively.

The L^2-spaces that appear are equipped with the standard L^2-norm. Recall the normalized eigenfunction $u_{0,h}$ introduced in Remark 4.3. Define the *bounded* operators R_0^+ and R_0^- as follows:

$$(R_0^+ v)(\sigma,\tau) = u_{0,h}(\tau)v(\sigma)\,, \tag{8.1}$$

$$(R_0^- v)(\sigma) = \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\, v(\sigma,\tau)\,d\tau\,. \tag{8.2}$$

$$\tag{8.3}$$

Note that $R_0^+R_0^-$ is the orthogonal projection, in $L^2(\mathbb{R}\times(0,h^{-\rho}))$, on the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_\sigma)\otimes\{\mathbb{R}u_{0,h}\}$. The operator norms of R_0^+ and R_0^- are both equal to 1. The function $R_0^+v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\sigma \times (0,h^{-\rho}))$ will be extended by zero to a function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

In the subsequent subsections, we will need two operators that approximate R_0^-. Define the two operators $R_{0,h}^-$ and $\widetilde{R}_{0,h}^-$ in $\mathcal{L}\big(L^2((-h^{-\eta},h^{-\eta})\times(0,h^{-\rho}));L^2((-h^{-\eta},h^{-\eta}))\big)$ as follows:

$$R_{0,h}^- v = \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\, v(\sigma,\tau)\,\widetilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)\,d\tau\,, \tag{8.4}$$

$$\widetilde{R}_{0,h}^- v = \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\, v(\sigma,\tau)\,\widetilde{a}(\sigma,\tau)^{-1}\,d\tau\,, \tag{8.5}$$

where $\widetilde{a}$ is introduced in (6.4).

The operators $R_{0,h}^-$ and $\widetilde{R}_{0,h}^-$ are approximations of R_0^- relative to the operator norm in $\mathcal{L}\big(L^2((-h^{-\eta},h^{-\eta})\times(0,h^{-\rho}));L^2((-h^{-\eta},h^{-\eta})\big)$ since, by (6.5), (6.7) and (6.10),

$$\|R_{0,h}^- - R_0^-\| \le Ch^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\widetilde{R}_{0,h}^- - R_0^-\| \le Ch^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}\,. \tag{8.6}$$

8.2. **Approximate eigenfunctions for the harmonic oscillator.**

8.2.1. *Construction of the trial states and preliminary estimates.*

We use the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_h$ to construct approximate eigenfunctions of the harmonic oscillator

$$H_{\rm harm} = -\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} + \frac{k_2}{2}\,\sigma^2 \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R})\,.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in (0,1/8)$, and $v_{h,n}$ be a normalized eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_h$ associated with the n-th eigenvalue $\mu_n(h)$.

Recall from Theorem 6.1 that $\mu_n(h)$ satisfies

$$\mu_n(h) \le -h - \kappa_{\max}h^{3/2} + M_n h^{7/4}\,,$$

where $M_n > 0$ is a constant. Define the functions $\psi_{h,n}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$ as follows:

$$\psi_{h,n}(s,t) = \chi\left(\frac{s}{h^{\frac{1}{8}-\eta}}\right)\chi\left(\frac{t}{h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}}\right) v_{h,n}(s,t)\,, \tag{8.7}$$

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau) = h^{5/16}\psi_{h,n}(h^{1/8}\sigma, h^{1/2}\tau)\,. \tag{8.8}$$

The function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}$, $\chi = 1$ in $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and the support of χ is in $[-1,1]$.

Notice that the function $\psi_{h,n}$ is defined in Ω by means of the boundary coordinates in (3.2).

Proposition 8.1. *There holds:*

(1) $\|\mathcal{L}_h\psi_{h,n} - \mu_n(h)\psi_{h,n}\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$;
(2) $\|\psi_{h,n} - v_{h,n}\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$;
(3) $\left\|\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} - R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\right\|_2 = o(1)$;
(4) $\|R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1 + o(1)$;
(5) *If $i \neq j$, then the functions $R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,i}$ and $R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}$ are almost orthogonal in the following sense,*

$$\langle R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,i}\,,\, R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = o(1)\,.$$

Proof. The assertions in (1) and (2) follow from Theorems 5.1 and 5.5.

Proof of (3):

Thanks to the assertions in (1) and (2), we may write,

$$q_h(\psi_{h,n}) \leq \mu_n(h) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\,.$$

We express $q_h(\psi_{h,n})$ in boundary coordinates to write (see (3.4))

$$\int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2}\int_0^{h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}} |h\partial_t\psi_{h,n}|^2\big(1 - t\,\kappa(s)\big)\,dtds - h^{3/2}\int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2}\big|\psi_{h,n}(s,t=0)\big|^2 d\sigma \leq \mu_n(h) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\,. \tag{8.9}$$

Using that $\kappa(\cdot)$ is bounded, $\rho \in (0,1/2)$ and Theorem 5.1, we get

$$\left|\int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2}\int_0^{h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}} |h\partial_t\psi_{h,n}|^2\, t\,\kappa(s)\,dtds\right| \leq Chh^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}\,,$$

and, as a consequence of (8.9),

$$\int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2}\int_0^{h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}} |h\partial_t\psi_{h,n}|^2\,dtds - h^{3/2}\int_{-|\partial\Omega|/2}^{|\partial\Omega|/2}\big|\psi_{h,n}(s,t=0)\big|^2 d\sigma \leq \mu_n(h) + \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3}{2}-\rho})\,.$$

We apply the change of variables $(s,t) = (h^{1/8}\sigma, h^{1/2}\tau)$ and note that the support of the function $\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$ is in $\{(\sigma,\tau) \in (-h^{-\eta}, h^\eta)\times[0,h^{-\rho})\}$. In that way, we obtain

$$h\left(\int_{-h^{-\eta}}^{h^{-\eta}}\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} |\partial_\tau\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\tau d\sigma - \int_{-h^{-\eta}}^{h^{-\eta}}\big|\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau=0)\big|^2 d\sigma\right) \leq \mu_n(h) + \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3}{2}-\rho})\,. \tag{8.10}$$

We have the following simple decomposition of $\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$,

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau) = R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau) + \Big(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} - R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\Big)(\sigma,\tau)\,,$$

with the property for every fixed σ, the functions $R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\cdot)$ and $\Big(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}-R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\Big)(\sigma,\cdot)$ are orthogonal in $L^2((0,h^{-\rho}))$. Actually, it follows simply from the definition of R_0^+ and R_0^- that,

$$\forall\ \sigma\in\mathbb{R}\,,\quad \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)\times\Big(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}-R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\Big)(\sigma,\tau)\,d\tau=0\,.$$

Furthermore, for every fixed σ, these functions are in the domain of the operator $\mathcal{H}_{0,h}$ in (4.3)-(4.4), $R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\cdot)$ is in the first eigenspace of the operator $\mathcal{H}_{0,h}$ and $\Big(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}-R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\Big)(\sigma,\cdot)$ is in its orthogonal complement. In that way, we get in light of the min-max principle and Lemma 4.1, that a.e. in σ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|\partial_\tau\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)|^2\,d\tau-\big|\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau=0)\big|^2\\
&\quad\geq\lambda_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,h})\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\tau+\lambda_2(\mathcal{H}_{0,h})\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}-R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\tau\\
&\quad\geq\big(-1+o(1)\big)\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating over σ in $(-h^{-\eta},h^{-\eta})$ and using also (8.10) and the property that $R_0^+R_0^-$ is an orthogonal projector, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(-h+ho(1)\big)h\int_{-h^{-\eta}}^{h^{-\eta}}\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\tau d\sigma&\\
\leq\big(\mu_n(h)+\mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3}{2}-\rho})\big)\int_{-h^{-\eta}}^{h^{-\eta}}\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\tau d\sigma&\\
+\big(\mu_n(h)+\mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{3}{2}-\rho})\big)\int_{-h^{-\eta}}^{h^{-\eta}}\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}-R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\tau d\sigma\,.&
\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\mu_n(h)\leq -h+ho(1)$ and that $\rho\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$, we get

$$\int_{-h^{-\eta}}^{h^{-\eta}}\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}-R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\tau d\sigma=o(1)\int_{-h^{-\eta}}^{h^{-\eta}}\int_0^{h^{-\rho}}|R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}|^2\,d\sigma\,.$$

Thus we get (3).

Proof of (4):

From the assertions (2) and (3) and the normalization of $v_{h,n}$, we get

$$\|R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2=1+o(1)\,.$$

Using the definition of R_0^+, we get

$$\|R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2=\|R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2\,,$$

and the assertion in (4) follows.

Proof of (5):

The functions $v_{h,i}$ and $v_{h,j}$ are orthogonal if $i\neq j$ in $L^2(\Omega)$.

By assertions (2) and (3), we get that $R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,i}$ and $R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}$ are *almost* orthogonal, i.e. their inner product is $o(1)$. By definition of R_0^+, we have

$$\langle R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,i},R_0^+R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}=\langle R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,i},R_0^-\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\,,$$

and the assertion in (5) follows. □

It results from (8.6) the following simple corollary of Proposition 8.1.

Corollary 8.2. *There holds,*

(1) $\|\widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1 + o(1)$;

(2) *If $i \neq j$, then the functions $\widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,i}$ and $\widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}$ are almost orthogonal in the following sense,*

$$\langle \widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,i}\,,\, \widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = o(1)\,.$$

8.2.2. *Useful identities.* Recall the definition of the operators P_0, P_2, P_3 and Q_h in (6.15), together with the definition of the functions q_1, q_2 and q_3 in (6.11)-(6.12).

We introduce the operator

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h = -h^{3/4}\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\sigma(\tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\sigma) - \tilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\tau(\tilde{a}\partial_\tau)\,, \tag{8.11}$$

with $\widetilde{a}$ as in (6.4).

Lemma 8.3. *There holds:*

(1) $-\partial_\tau^2 u_{0,h} = -u_{0,h} + \epsilon_1(h)u_{0,h}$ *and* $\partial_\tau u_{0,h} = -u_{0,h} + \epsilon_1(h)u_{0,h} + \epsilon_2(\tau;h)$ *where*

$$|\epsilon_1(h)| \leq C\exp(-2h^{-\rho})\,, \quad |\epsilon_2(\tau;h)| \leq C\exp(-h^{-\rho})\,,$$

and $C>0$ is a constant.

(2) *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$R^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} = (-1 - h^{1/2}\kappa_{\max})R^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} + h^{3/4}H_{\rm harm}\widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} + h^{3/4}r(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n})\,,$$

where $k_2 = -\kappa''(0) > 0$,

$$H_{\rm harm} = -\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} + \frac{k_2}{2}\,\sigma^2\,,$$

and $r(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n})$ is a function of σ satisfying for some constant $C>0$ and all sufficiently small h,

$$\|r(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq Ch^{\frac{1}{8}-3\eta}\,.$$

Proof. The assertion in (1) follows from (4.9), the definition of $u_{0,h}$ in (4.10) and a straightforward computation.

The functions $u_{0,h}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$ vanish at $\tau = h^{-\rho}$ and they satisfy the following Robin condition at $\tau = 0$,

$$\partial_\tau u_{0,h} = -u_{0,h} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\tau\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} = -\psi_{h,n}\,.$$

Thus, an integration by parts gives, for a.e. σ,

$$\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\,\widetilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\tau\big(\widetilde{a}\partial_\tau\,\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\big)\,\widetilde{a}\,d\tau = \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \widetilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\tau\big(\widetilde{a}\partial_\tau u_{0,h}\big)\,\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\,\widetilde{a}\,d\tau\,.$$

The integral in the left hand side can be transformed to a simpler one by using the (point-wise) expression of the operator $a^{-1}\partial_\tau\big(\widetilde{a}\partial_\tau\big)$ in (6.11). This allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\,\widetilde{a}^{-1}\partial_\tau\big(\widetilde{a}\partial_\tau\,\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\big)\,\widetilde{a}\,d\tau \\ &\quad= \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \Big\{\big(P_0 + h^{1/2}P_2 + h^{3/4}\frac{\kappa''(0)}{2!}\sigma^2\partial_\tau + h^{7/8}q_1(\sigma,\tau)\partial_\tau\big)u_{0,h}\Big\}\,\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\,\widetilde{a}\,d\tau\,. \end{aligned} \tag{8.12}$$

The definition of the operators P_0, P_2 in (6.16) and the assertion in (1) give us

$$\big(P_0 + h^{1/2}P_2 + h^{3/4}\frac{\kappa''(0)}{2!}\sigma^2\partial_\tau\big)u_{0,h} = \big(-1 - \kappa_{\max}h^{1/2} + h^{3/4}\frac{k_2}{2}\sigma^2\big)u_{0,h} + e_h\,, \tag{8.13}$$

where $k_2 = -\kappa''(0) > 0$ and

$$e_h(\tau) = \Big[1 + h^{1/2}\kappa_{\max} + h^{3/4}\frac{k_2\sigma^2}{2}\Big]\epsilon_1(h)u_{0,h}(\tau) + \Big[h^{1/2}\kappa_{\max} + h^{3/4}\frac{k_2\sigma^2}{2}\Big]\epsilon_2(\tau;h)\,. \tag{8.14}$$

Since the variable τ lives in $(0, h^{-\rho})$, $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\kappa(\cdot)$ is bounded, we get that $\widetilde{a} = 1+\mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho})$. Now, the properties of the expressions ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 in assertion (1) yield

$$\left| \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} e_n(\tau) \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)\, \widetilde{a} d\tau \right| \leq \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \left(\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} |\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)|^2\, \widetilde{a} d\tau \right)^{1/2} . \tag{8.15}$$

Using the normalization of $\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$ in the L^2-norm relative to the measure $\widetilde{a}\, d\sigma\, d\tau$, we get further,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} e_n(\tau) \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)\, \widetilde{a} d\tau \right|^2 d\sigma \leq \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} |\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)|^2\, \widetilde{a} d\tau = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) . \tag{8.16}$$

We infer from (8.12) and (8.13) the following identity

$$-\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\, \widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\tau \big(\widetilde{a} \partial_\tau\, \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} \big)\, \widetilde{a}\, d\tau = \big(-1 - h^{1/2} \kappa_{\max} + h^{3/4} \frac{k_2}{2} \sigma^2 \big) R^-_{0,h} \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} + r_1(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}) , \tag{8.17}$$

where $R^-_{0,h} \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$ is defined as in (8.4), and the remainder term is a function of the variable σ and defined as follows:

$$r_1(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}) = \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} e_h(\tau) \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)\, \widetilde{a}\, d\tau + \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \Big(h^{7/8} q_1(\sigma,\tau) \partial_\tau u_{0,h}(\tau) \Big)\, \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)\, \widetilde{a}\, d\tau . \tag{8.18}$$

In the support of $\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$, $|\sigma| \leq h^{-\eta}$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq h^{-\rho}$. The choice of ρ and η is such that $\rho = \frac{1}{8} + \eta$ and $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{8}$. Thanks to (6.13), $|q_1(\sigma,\tau)| \leq C(|\sigma|^3 + h^{1/8}\tau) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-3\eta})$ in the support of $\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the assertion in (1), we may write

$$\left| \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \Big(h^{7/8} q_1(\sigma,\tau) \partial_\tau u_{0,h}(\tau) \Big)\, \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)\, \widetilde{a}\, d\tau \right| \leq C h^{\frac{7}{8}-3\eta} \left(\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} |\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)|^2\, \widetilde{a}^2\, d\tau \right)^{1/2} .$$

The normalization of $\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$ and the estimate $\widetilde{a} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho})$ yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} \Big(h^{7/8} q_1(\sigma,\tau) \partial_\tau u_{0,h}(\tau) \Big)\, \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau)\, \widetilde{a}\, d\tau \right|^2 d\sigma = \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{7}{8}-3\eta}) .$$

Inserting this estimate and the one in (8.16) into (8.18), we get that the L^2-norm in $\mathbb{R}_\sigma$ of the remainder term is estimated as follows:

$$\| r_1(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\, h^{\frac{7}{8}-3\eta} . \tag{8.19}$$

Next we look at the term

$$\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\, \widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\sigma \big(\widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\sigma \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} \big)\, \widetilde{a}\, d\tau = \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\, \widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\sigma^2 \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\, d\tau + \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau) \big(\widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\sigma \widetilde{a}^{-1} \big) \partial_\sigma \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\, d\tau . \tag{8.20}$$

Here we will need the operator $\widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}$ in (8.5). Since the function $u_{0,h}$ is independent of the variable σ,

$$\int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}(\tau)\, \partial_\sigma^2 \big(\widetilde{a}^{-1} \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} \big)\, d\tau = \partial_\sigma^2 \widetilde{R}^-_{0,h} \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} .$$

Using the simple identity

$$\widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\sigma^2 \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} = \partial_\sigma^2 \big(\widetilde{a}^{-1} \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} \big) - 2 \partial_\sigma \widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\sigma \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} - \big(\partial_\sigma^2 \widetilde{a}^{-1} \big) \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} ,$$

we infer from (8.20),

$$-\, h^{3/4} \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}\, \widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\sigma \big(\widetilde{a}^{-1} \partial_\sigma \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} \big)\, \widetilde{a}\, d\tau = -h^{3/4} \partial_\sigma^2 \widetilde{R}^-_{0,h} \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} + h^{3/4} r_2(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}) , \tag{8.21}$$

where the remainder term is a function of the variable σ and defined as follows:

$$r_2(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}) = \int_0^{h^{-\rho}} u_{0,h}\Big[2\big(\partial_\sigma \widetilde{a}^{-1}\big) \times \big(\partial_\sigma \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\big) + \big(\partial_\sigma^2 \widetilde{a}^{-1}\big)\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\Big]\, d\tau\,. \tag{8.22}$$

The definition of $\widetilde{a}$ and the boundedness of the curvature yield that, in the support of $\psi_{h,n}$,

$$|\partial_\sigma \widetilde{a}^{-1}| = \mathcal{O}\big(h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} h^{\frac{1}{8}}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial_\sigma^2 \widetilde{a}^{-1}| = \mathcal{O}\big(h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} h^{\frac{1}{4}}\big)\,.$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz ineqaulity, using the normalization of $u_{0,h}$ and the expansion $\widetilde{a} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho})$, we get

$$\|r_2(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} h^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\partial_\sigma \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2 + C h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} h^{1/4} \|\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2\,.$$

Performing the change of variables $(s,t) = (h^{1/8}\sigma, h^{1/2}\tau)$, we get (see (8.8)),

$$\|\partial_\sigma \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2 = h^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\partial_s \psi_{h,n}\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2 = \|\psi_{h,n}\|_2 = 1 + o(1)\,.$$

Thus, the remainder term satisfies

$$\|r_2(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} h^{1/4} \|\partial_s \psi_{h,n}\|_2 + C h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} h^{1/4}\,.$$

We estimate the norm of $\partial_s \psi_{h,n}$ as in Remark 5.3. In that way we get

$$\|r_2(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \widehat{C} h^{\frac{5}{8}-\rho}\,. \tag{8.23}$$

Also, by (8.6) and the definition of $\rho = \frac{1}{8} + \eta$, we have

$$\big\|\big(R_{0,h}^- - \widetilde{R}_{0,h}^-\big)\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\big\|_2 \leq C h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} = C h^{\frac{3}{8}-\eta}\,. \tag{8.24}$$

We set $r(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}) = h^{-3/4} r_1(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}) + r_2(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}) + \frac{k_2}{2}\sigma^2\big(R_{0,h}^- - \widetilde{R}_{0,h}^-\big)\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$. Thanks to (8.19), (8.23), (8.24), and the condition $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{8}$, we have

$$\|r(\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{1}{8}-3\eta})\,.$$

On the other hand, we insert (8.21) into (8.20) then collect the obtained identity and (8.17). By virtue of the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h$ in (8.11), we get the identity in Assertion (2). □

8.2.3. *Validating the approximation.* In the next lemma, we show that the functions $\widetilde{R}_{0,h}^- \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}$ yield approximate eigenfunctions for the harmonic oscillator

$$H_{\rm harm} = -\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} + \frac{k_2}{2}\sigma^2\,.$$

Lemma 8.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\Lambda_n = \big(\mu_n(h) + h + h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max}\big)h^{-7/4}$ and $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{24}$. There holds,*

$$\big\|\big(H_{\rm harm} - \Lambda_n\big)\widetilde{R}_{0,h}^- \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\big\|_2 \leq C\left(h^{\frac{1}{8}-3\eta} + h^{\frac{3}{8}-\eta}|\Lambda_n|\right)\big\|\widetilde{R}_{0,h}^- \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\big\|_2\,.$$

Thanks to Remark 5.4 and Theorem 6.1, we have $\Lambda_n = \mathcal{O}(1)$, as $h \to 0_+$.

Proof of Lemma 8.4. We perform the change of variables $(s,t) = (h^{1/8}\sigma, h^{1/2}\tau)$ and use (8.8) to write (also we use (6.3) to express the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ in the boundary coordinates),

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau) = h^{5/16} h^{-1} \mathcal{L}_h \psi_{h,n}(s,t) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}(\sigma,\tau) = h^{5/16}\psi_{h,n}(s,t)\,.$$

By Lemma 8.1, we have

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} - h^{-1}\mu_n(h)\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\,.$$

Since the norm of the operator $R_{0,h}^-$ in (8.4) is equal to 1, we have

$$\big\|R_{0,h}^-\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} - h^{-1}\mu_n(h)\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\big)\big\|_2 \leq \|\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_h \widetilde{\psi}_{h,n} - h^{-1}\mu_n(h)\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\,.$$

Now, Lemma 8.3 and (8.6) tell us that

$$\left\|H_{\rm harm}\widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}-\Lambda_n\widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\leq Ch^{\frac{1}{8}-3\eta}+C|\Lambda_n|\,\|R^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}-\widetilde{R}^-_{0,h}\widetilde{\psi}_{h,n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \tag{8.25}$$

$$\leq Ch^{\frac{1}{8}-3\eta}+C|\Lambda_n|h^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho}=Ch^{\frac{1}{8}-3\eta}+C|\Lambda_n|h^{\frac{3}{8}-\eta}\,. \tag{8.26}$$

Corollary 8.2 tells us that the norm of $\widetilde{R}^-_0\psi_{h,n}$ is $1+o(1)$. In that way, (8.25) finishes the proof of Lemma 8.4. □

Lower bounds and three-term asymptotics.

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$ be a fixed natural number. Let W_n be the space spanned by the functions $\{R^-_0\widetilde{\psi}_{h,j}\}_{1\leq j\leq n}$. These functions are almost orthonormal, see Lemma 8.1. Thanks to Lemma 8.4 and the assumption $0<\eta<\frac{1}{8}$, we see that

$$\forall\phi\in W_n\,,\quad \langle H_{\rm Harm}\phi,\phi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\leq\Big\{h^{-7/4}\big(\mu_n(h)+h+h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max}\big)(1+o(1))+o(1)\Big\}\,\|\phi\|_2\,,$$

and the dimension of W_n is equal to n.

By the min-max principle, we deduce that the n-th eigenvalue of the harmonic oscillator $H_{\rm harm}$ satisfies:

$$(2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\leq h^{-7/4}\big(\mu_n(h)+h+h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max}\big)(1+o(1))+o(1)\,.$$

This gives the following lower bound of $\mu_n(h)$,

$$\mu_n(h)\geq -h-h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max}+(2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,h^{7/4}+h^{7/4}o(1)\,.$$

Now, thanks to the upper bound in Theorem 6.1, we get

$$\mu_n(h)=-h-h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max}+(2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,h^{7/4}+h^{7/4}o(1)\,. \tag{8.27}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $M\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Thanks to Theorem 7.1, we know that there exists an eigenvalue $\widetilde{\mu}_n(h)$ of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ satisfying as $h\to 0_+$,

$$\widetilde{\mu}_n(h)=-h-h^{3/2}\kappa_{\max}+(2n-1)\sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,h^{7/4}+h^{7/4}\sum_{j=0}^{M}\zeta_{j,n}h^{j/8}+\mathcal{O}\big(h^{\frac{7}{8}+\frac{M+1}{8}}\big)\,.$$

Thanks to (8.27), we observe that there exists $h_0>0$ such that, for all $h\in(0,h_0)$,

$$\widetilde{\mu}_n(h)=\mu_n(h)\,.$$

Thus, $\mu_n(h)$ satisfies the asymptotic expansion in Theorem 2.1. □

9. WKB constructions

In this section, we shortly address the WKB construction in the spirit of Bonnaillie-Hérau-Raymond [1] (see previously in the context of the Born-Oppenheimer approximation [12]).

We start by recalling the expression in (8.11) of the operator L_h in the boundary coordinates (s,t). We will express this operator in the re-scaled coordinates $(s,\tau)=(s,h^{-1/2}t)$. For this purpose it is convenient to introduce the operator

$$\widehat{L}_h=-\partial_\tau^2-h\,\hat{a}^{-2}\partial_s^2-\hat{a}^{-1}(\partial_\tau\hat{a})\partial_\tau-h\,\hat{a}^{-1}(\partial_s\hat{a}^{-1})\partial_s\,, \tag{9.1}$$

where

$$\hat{a}(s,\tau)=1-h^{1/2}\tau\kappa(s)\,,\quad \partial_\tau\hat{a}(s,\tau)=-h^{1/2}\kappa(s)\,,\quad \partial_s\hat{a}^{-1}(s,\tau)=h^{1/2}\hat{a}^{-2}(s,\tau)\kappa'(s)\,.$$

In the (s,τ) coordinates, the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ is expressed as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_h=h\,\widehat{L}_h\,.$$

We will abuse notation and identify $\hat{a}^{-1}$, $\hat{a}^{-2}$ and $\hat{a}^{-3}$ with the series expansions in τ,

$$\hat{a}^{-1}(s,\tau)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{j/2}\tau^j(\kappa(s))^j\,,\quad \hat{a}^{-2}(s,\tau)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j h^{j/2}\tau^j(\kappa(s))^j\,,$$
$$\hat{a}^{-3}(s,\tau)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j h^{j/2}\tau^j(\kappa(s))^j\,,$$

where, for all $j\in\{0,1,\cdots\}$,

$$c_0=d_0=1\,,\quad c_j=\frac{1}{j!}\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}(k-2)\,,\quad d_j=\frac{1}{j!}\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}(k-3)\quad (j\geq 1)\,.$$

This leads to the following expansion of the operator $\widehat{L}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{L}_h=&-\partial_\tau^2-h\partial_s^2\\&+2h^{3/2}\tau\kappa(s)\partial_s^2+h^{1/2}\partial_\tau+h^{3/2}\tau\kappa'(s)\partial_s\\&-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}c_j h^{\frac{j+2}{2}}\tau^j(\kappa(s))^j\partial_s^2+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}h^{\frac{j+1}{2}}\tau^j(\kappa(s))^{j+1}\partial_\tau-\kappa'(s)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}h^{\frac{j+3}{2}}d_j\tau^j(\kappa(s))^j\partial_s\,.\end{aligned}$$

We use the idea *à la* Born-Oppenheimer [2, 12, 14] and look for a trial state $\Psi^{WKB}(s,\tau,h)$ having the WKB expansion[1]

$$\Psi^{WKB}(s,\tau,h)\sim\exp\left(-\frac{\vartheta(s)}{h^{\frac14}}\right)\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}a_\ell(s,\tau)h^{\frac{\ell}{4}}\right)$$

and a corresponding eigenvalue

$$\mu^{WKB}\sim\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\mu_\ell h^{\frac{\ell}{4}}\,,$$

where $\vartheta(s)$ is a real-valued function to be determined.

As we shall see, the analysis below will allow us to select $\mu_0,\mu_1,\cdots$ so that μ^{WKB} is an expansion of the ground state energy of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$ (this in particularly means that we impose the Robin condition). The focus on the ground state energy is for the sake of simplicity. A slight modification of the method allows us to get expansions of all the low-lying eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}_h$.

We will arrange the terms in the equation

$$\widehat{L}_h\Psi^{WKB}\sim\mu^{WKB}\,\Psi^{WKB}\,,\tag{9.2}$$

in the form of power series in $h^{1/4}$ and select $a_\ell(s,\tau)$ and μ_ℓ by matching the terms with coefficient $h^{\ell/4}$.

We introduce the (formal) operator

$$\widehat{L}_h^{\vartheta}:=\exp\left(\frac{\vartheta(s)}{h^{\frac14}}\right)\,\widehat{L}_h\,\exp\left(-\frac{\vartheta(s)}{h^{\frac14}}\right)\,,$$

[1]More correctly, this means that

$$\exp\left(\frac{\vartheta(s)}{h^{\frac14}}\right)\Psi^{WKB}(s,\tau,h)\sim\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}a_\ell(s,\tau)h^{\frac{\ell}{4}}\,.$$

and notice that, (9.2) is (formally) equivalent to

$$\widehat{L}_h^\vartheta \left(\sum_\ell a_\ell(s,\tau) h^{\frac{\ell}{4}} \right) \sim \mu^{WKB} \left(\sum_\ell a_\ell(s,\tau) h^{\frac{\ell}{4}} \right) . \tag{9.3}$$

The next step is to (formally) expand the operator $\widehat{L}_h^\vartheta$ as follows:

$$\widehat{L}_h^\vartheta = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} Q_\ell^\vartheta \, h^{\frac{\ell}{4}} . \tag{9.4}$$

In order to get the expressions of the operators Q_ℓ^ϑ, we do a straightforward (but long!) calculation and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{L}_h^\vartheta = & -\partial_\tau^2 + h^{\frac12}\big(\partial_\tau - \vartheta(s)^2\big) + h^{\frac34}\big(2\vartheta'(s)\partial_s + \vartheta''(s)\big) \\
& + h^{\frac44}\big(-\partial_s^2 + c_3\tau^3\kappa(s)^3 + \tau^3(\kappa(s))^5\big) \\
& + h^{\frac54}\big(2c_3\tau^3\kappa(s)^3\vartheta'(s)\partial_s - c_3\vartheta''(s)\kappa(s)^3 - \tau\kappa'(s)\vartheta'(s)\big) \\
& + h^{\frac64}\big(-c_5\tau^5\kappa(s)^5\partial_s^2 + c_4\tau^4\vartheta'(s)\kappa(s)^4 + \tau^4\kappa(s)^5\big) \\
& + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} h^{\frac{2j+1}{4}}\tau^{j+1}\kappa(s)^{j+1}\big(2c_{j+1}\vartheta'(s)\partial_s - c_{j+1}\vartheta''(s) + d_{j+2}\tau\vartheta'(s)\kappa'(s)\kappa(s)\big) \\
& + \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} h^{\frac{2j}{4}}\tau^{j+1}\kappa(s)^{j+1}\big(-c_{j+2}\tau\kappa(s)\partial_s^2 - d_{j+3}\tau^2\kappa'(s)\kappa(s)^2\partial_s - c_{j+1}\vartheta'(s)\big) \\
& + \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} h^{\frac{2j}{4}}\tau^{j+1}\kappa(s)^{j+2}\partial_\tau .
\end{aligned}$$

In that way, we find the following expressions of the operators in (9.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_0^\vartheta &= -\partial_\tau^2 , \\
Q_1^\vartheta &= 0 , \\
Q_2^\vartheta &= \kappa(s)\partial_\tau - \vartheta'(s)^2 , \\
Q_3^\vartheta &= 2\vartheta'(s)\partial_s + \vartheta''(s) , \\
Q_4^\vartheta &= -\partial_s^2 + c_3\tau^3\kappa(s)^3 + \tau^3(\kappa(s))^4\partial_\tau , \\
Q_5^\vartheta &= 2c_3\tau^3\kappa(s)^3\vartheta'(s)\partial_s - c_3\vartheta''(s)\kappa(s)^3 - \tau\kappa'(s)\vartheta'(s) , \\
Q_6^\vartheta &= -c_5\tau^5\kappa(s)^5\partial_s^2 + c_4\tau^4\vartheta'(s)\kappa(s)^4 + \tau^4(\kappa(s))^5\partial_\tau ,
\end{aligned}$$

and for all $\ell > 6$, we have when ℓ is even,

$$Q_\ell^\vartheta = \tau^{\frac{\ell}{2}+1}\kappa(s)^{\frac{\ell}{2}+1}\big(-c_{\frac{\ell}{2}+2}\tau\kappa(s)\partial_s^2 - d_{\frac{\ell}{2}+3}\tau^2\kappa'(s)\kappa(s)^2\partial_s - c_{\frac{\ell}{2}+1}\vartheta'(s) + \kappa(s)\partial_\tau\big) ,$$

and when ℓ is odd,

$$Q_\ell^\vartheta = \tau^{\frac{\ell-1}{4}+1}\kappa(s)^{\frac{\ell-1}{4}+1}\big(2c_{\frac{\ell-1}{4}+1}\vartheta'(s)\partial_s - c_{\frac{\ell-1}{4}+1}\vartheta''(s) + d_{\frac{\ell-1}{4}+2}\tau\vartheta'(s)\kappa'(s)\kappa(s)\big) .$$

We return to the formal equation in (9.4). The term with coefficient h^0 yields the equation,

$$(Q_0^\vartheta - \mu_0)a_0(s,\tau) = 0 .$$

The operator Q_0^ϑ is nothing else than the operator $I \otimes \mathcal{H}_{00}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_s \times \mathbb{R}_{+,\tau})$. Hence we will choose a_0 and later the a_j in the domain of Q_0^ϑ in order to respect the Robin condition.

This leads us naturally (considering the operator $\mathcal{H}_{0,0}$ introduced in (4.1)) to the choice

$$\mu_0 = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_0(s,\tau) = \xi_0(s)u_0(\tau) .$$

Since $Q_1^\vartheta = 0$, the term in (9.4) with coefficient $h^{1/4}$ yields

$$(Q_0^\vartheta - \mu_0)a_1(s,\tau) - \mu_1 a_1(s,\tau) = 0\,.$$

This leads us to the natural choice $\mu_1 = 0$ and

$$a_1(s,\tau) = \xi_1(s)u_0(\tau)\,.$$

We look at the term with coefficient $h^{1/2}$ to obtain

$$(Q_0^\vartheta - \mu_0)a_2 + (Q_2^\vartheta - \mu_2)a_0 = 0\,.$$

Remembering that $\mu_0 = -1$ and $a_0(s,\tau) = \xi_0(s)u_0(\tau)$, we get

$$(Q_0^\vartheta + 1)a_2 + u_0(\tau)(\kappa(s) - \vartheta'(s)^2 - \mu_2)\xi_0(s) = 0\,.$$

Multiplying by u_0 and integrating over τ leads us to the eikonal equation

$$-\kappa(s) - \vartheta'(s)^2 - \mu_2 = 0\,. \tag{9.5}$$

Consequently, we take $\mu_2 = -\kappa(0)$, impose the condition $\vartheta'(0) = 0$ and determine ϑ from (9.5). In particular, we obtain by differentiating (9.5) two successive times,

$$\vartheta''(0) = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa''(0)}\,. \tag{9.6}$$

We take a_2 in the form

$$a_2(s,\tau) = \xi_2(s)u_0(\tau)\,,$$

so that the term $(Q_0^\vartheta + 1)a_2$ vanishes.

Now we look at the term with coefficient $h^{3/4}$ in (9.4). This yields

$$(Q_0^\vartheta + 1)a_3 + (Q_2^\vartheta - \mu_2)a_1 + (Q_3^\vartheta - \mu_3)a_0 = 0\,.$$

Using (9.5), we see that the term $(Q_2^\vartheta - \mu_2)a_1$ vanishes. Choosing

$$a_3(s,\tau) = \xi_3(s)u_0(\tau)\,.$$

forces the term $(Q_0^\vartheta + 1)a_3$ to vanish as well. We end up with the equation

$$(Q_3^\vartheta - \mu_3)a_0 = 0\,.$$

Multiplying by u_0 and integrating over τ gives us the first transport equation

$$2\vartheta'(s)\xi_0'(s) + (\vartheta''(s) - \mu_3)\xi_0(s) = 0\,. \tag{9.7}$$

In order to respect the condition $\vartheta'(0) = 0$, (9.7) leads us to choose

$$\mu_3 = \vartheta''(0) = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa''(0)}\,.$$

We can determine $\xi_0(s) \neq 0$ by solving (9.7) in a neighborhood of $s = 0$ and find:

$$\xi_0(s) = c_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\vartheta''(s) - \vartheta''(0)}{2\vartheta'(s)}\right)\,,$$

where $c_0 \neq 0$ is a constant.

The iteration process.
In (9.4), the term with coefficient $h^{\ell/4}$, $\ell \geq 4$, leads us to the equation,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \big(Q_k^\vartheta - \mu_k\big) a_{\ell-k} = 0\,. \tag{9.8}$$

We need to define a_ℓ and μ_ℓ for all $\ell \geq 4$. We will do this by iteration.

Let us start by examining the case $\ell = 4$. Thanks to the conditions in (9.5), (9.8) becomes

$$(Q_0^\vartheta + 1)a_4 + (Q_3^\vartheta - \mu_3)a_1 + (Q_4^\vartheta - \mu_4)a_0 = 0\,. \tag{9.9}$$

Multiplying by $u_0(\tau)$ and integrating over τ leads us to the equation,

$$2\vartheta'(s)\xi_1'(s) + (\vartheta''(s) - \mu_3)\xi_1(s) + F_1(s) - \mu_4\xi_0(s) = 0\,, \tag{9.10}$$

where

$$F_1(s) = \int_0^\infty u_0(\tau)\, Q_4^\vartheta a_0(s,\tau)\, d\tau\,.$$

We select μ_4 such that

$$F_1(0) - \mu_4\xi_0(0) = 0\,, \tag{9.11}$$

and impose the condition

$$\xi_1(0) = 0\,.$$

Note that this choice is consistent with (9.10), thanks to $\mu_3 = \vartheta''(0)$ and $\vartheta'(0) = 0$.

The assumption on the curvature is that it attains its maximal value at the unique point $s = 0$. Notice that, by (9.5), for $s \in (-\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}, \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2})$, ϑ' does not vanish except at 0 and that

$$2\vartheta'(s) \sim \sqrt{2k_2}\, s \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta''(s) \sim \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{2}}\,,$$

where $k_2 = -\kappa''(0) > 0$.

Dividing (9.10) by $2\vartheta'$, we get a first order ordinary equation with C^∞ coefficients in $(-\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}, \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2})$ which admits a unique explicit solution $\xi_1(s)$ (by the method of the variation of constants), if we impose the condition $\xi_1(0) = 0$.

With this condition the function $v_4 = (Q_3^\vartheta - \mu_3)a_1 - (Q_4^\vartheta - \mu_4)a_0$ is, for any s, orthogonal to the function u_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

We return to (9.9) and define $a_4(s,\tau)$ by

$$a_4(s,\tau) = \xi_4(s)u_0(\tau) + (Q_0^\vartheta + 1)^{-1} v_4(s,\tau)\,,$$

where $\xi_4(s)$ will be selected later in the definition of μ_7 and a_7.

Clearly, $a_4(s,\tau)$ is of the form,

$$a_4(s,\tau) = \xi_4(s)u_0(\tau) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_4} \xi_{4,k}(s)u_{4,k}(\tau)\,.$$

Now we describe the iteration process. Let $\ell \geq 5$ and $\xi_k(s)$, $k \in \{4, \cdots, \ell\}$, be smooth functions. Suppose that, $(\xi_k(s))_{k=0}^{\ell-3}$ and for all $M \in \{0, \cdots, \ell-1\}$, $\mu_M \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$a_M = \xi_M(s)u_0(\tau) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_M} \xi_{M,k}(s)u_{M,k}(\tau)$$

satisfy

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M} \big(Q_k^\vartheta - \mu_k\big) a_{M-k} = 0\,.$$

We want to select $\mu_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$a_\ell = \xi_\ell(s)u_0(\tau) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_\ell} \xi_{\ell,k}(s)u_{\ell,k}(\tau)$$

such that (9.8) is satisfied. We expand (9.8) as follows:

$$\big(Q_0^\vartheta + 1\big)a_\ell + \big(Q_3^\vartheta - \mu_3\big)a_{\ell-3} + G_{\ell-3} + \big(Q_\ell^\vartheta - \mu_\ell\big)a_0 = 0\,,$$

where

$$G_{\ell-3}(s,\tau) = \sum_{k=4}^{\ell-1} \big(Q_k^\vartheta - \mu_k\big)a_{\ell-k}\,.$$

Multiplying by $u_0(\tau)$ and integrating over τ yields

$$2\vartheta'(s)\xi'_{\ell-3}(s) + (\vartheta''(s) - \mu_3)\xi_{\ell-3}(s) + F_{\ell-3}(s) - \mu_\ell\xi_0(s) = 0\,, \tag{9.12}$$

where

$$F_{\ell-3}(s) = \int_0^\infty \Big\{\big(Q_3^\vartheta - \mu_3\big)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_M} \xi_{\ell-3,k}(s)u_{\ell-3,k}(\tau)\right) + G_{\ell-3}(s,\tau) + Q_\ell^\vartheta a_0(s,\tau)\Big\}u_0(\tau)\,d\tau\,.$$

We select μ_ℓ such that

$$F_{\ell-3}(0) - \mu_\ell\xi_0(0) = 0\,,$$

and impose the following condition

$$\xi_\ell(0) = 0\,.$$

We divide both sides of (9.12) by $2\vartheta'(s)$ and get a first order differential equation in $\xi_{\ell-3}(s)$. Under the condition $\xi_{\ell-3}(0) = 0$, this equation has a unique solution $\xi_{\ell-3}(s)$ defined in $(-\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}, \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2})$. Then we define $a_\ell(s,\tau)$ as follows:

$$a_\ell(s,\tau) = \xi_\ell(s)u_0(\tau) + (Q_0^\vartheta + 1)^{-1}(v_{\ell-3}(\sigma,\tau))\,,$$

where

$$v_{\ell-3}(\sigma,\tau) = -\big(Q_3^\vartheta - \mu_3\big)a_{\ell-3} - G_{\ell-3} - \big(Q_\ell^\vartheta - \mu_\ell\big)a_0\,.$$

References

[1] V. Bonnaillie, F. Hérau, and N. Raymond. Magnetic WKB expansions. HAL : hal-00966003, version 2, arXiv : 1405.7157 (2014).

[2] M. Born, R. Oppenheimer. Zur Quantentheorie der Molekeln. *Ann. Phys.* **84**, 457-484 (1927).

[3] P. Exner, A. Minakov, L. Parnovski. Asymptotic eigenvalue estimates for a Robin problem with a large parameter. *Portugal. Math.* **71** (2) 141-156 (2014).

[4] S. Fournais, B. Helffer. *Spectral Methods in Surface Superconductivity.* Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Vol. 77, Birkhäuser (2010).

[5] S. Fournais, B. Helffer. Accurate eigenvalue asymptotics for the magnetic Neumann Laplacian. *Ann. Inst. Fourier.* **56** (1) 1-67 (2006).

[6] B. Helffer, A. Morame. Magnetic bottles in connection with superconductivity. *J. Func. Anal.* **181** (2) 604-680 (2001).

[7] B. Helffer, K. Pankrashkin. Tunneling between corners for Robin Laplacians. Preprint 2014 : arXiv:1404.4765 . To appear in *J. London Math. Soc.*

[8] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand. Multiple wells in the semiclassical limit I. *Comm. Partial Differ. Equations* **9** (4) 337-408 (1984) .

[9] A. Kachmar, M. Persson. On the essential spectrum of magnetic Schrödinger operators in exterior domains. *Arab J. Math. Sci.* **19** (2) 217-222 (2013).

[10] A. Kachmar. On the ground state energy for a magnetic Schrödinger operator and the effect of the de Gennes boundary conditions. *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **332**, 701-706 (2006).

[11] A. Kachmar. On the ground state energy for a magnetic Schrödinger operator and the effect of the de Gennes boundary conditions. *J. Math. Phys.* **47**(7), 072106, 32 pp (2006).

[12] S. Lefebvre. Semiclassical analysis of the operator $h^2D_x^2 + D_y^2 + (1+x^2)y^2$. Manuscript June 1986. Unpublished.

[13] M. Levitin, L. Parnovski. On the principal eigenvalue of a Robin problem with a large parameter. *Math. Nachr.* **281**, 272–281 (2008).

[14] A. Martinez. Développements asymptotiques et effet tunnel dans l'approximation de Born-Oppenheimer. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sect. Phys. Théor.* **50** (3), 239-257 (1989).

[15] K. Pankrashkin. On the asymptotics of the principal eigenvalue problem for a Robin problem with a large parameter in a planar domain. *Nanosystems: Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics,* 2013 **4** (4), 474–483.

[16] K. Pankrashkin, N. Popoff. Mean curvature bounds and eigenvalues of Robin Laplacians. Preprint July 2014. arXiv:1407.3087

(B. Helffer) Université de Paris-Sud, Bât 425, 91405 Orsay, France and Laboratoire Jean Leray, Université de Nantes, France.
E-mail address: bernard.helffer@math.u-psud.fr

(A. Kachmar) Lebanese University, Department of Mathematics, Hadath, Lebanon.
E-mail address: ayman.kashmar@gmail.com